

Artikel

Isolated Nouns in the Semitic Languages¹

Joshua Fox (Jerusalem)

Part A. Role of the Pattern in the Isolated Nouns

In the formation of nouns in the Semitic languages, triconsonantal roots are interleaved with patterns which consist of vowels and slots for root consonants, in some cases with affirmatives. Some of the patterns are productive, and some carry with them well-defined meanings. For example, in most Semitic languages, the patterns descended from Proto-Semitic **qātili*² indicate the G active participle. But not all nouns are formed in this manner. Nouns not derived from a root and a pattern, called the "isolated" nouns, have distinct characteristics that distinguish them from the majority of Semitic nouns.

An "isolated noun" is defined as a substantive that does not share a consonantal root with another word of similar meaning, whether verb or noun. Therefore, unlike most nouns, the isolated noun is not separable into root and pattern by comparison to other words that have the same root but a different pattern.

Adjectives are excluded from the definition of "isolated noun" because of their close connection to the verb in Semitic: an adjective such as **kabid* "heavy," which exists in a number of Semitic languages and so is reconstructed for Proto-Semitic, forms a stative predicative/perfect³ by the addition of suffixes, as well as a prefixal imperfect/preterite. In all Semitic languages, the adjective meaning "X" has an associated verb "to be X," except for demonstrative adjectives and denominative (relative) adjectives formed by suffixation to a noun (e.g., the Arabic nisbe ending **-iyy*). Since a verb of the same root existing alongside a noun makes the noun non-isolated, the adjectives are here excluded.

Because this definition of isolated nouns is focused on distinguishing those nouns in which root and pattern are not separate elements in the derivation of the word, it excludes those which have another noun from the same root, as well as those which

¹ I would like to thank Moshe Bar-Asher, Chaim Cohen, Aharon Dolgopolsky, Gideon Goldenberg, my advisor John Huehnergard, Jo Ann Hackett, Wolfhart Heinrichs, and Shlomo Izre'el for their helpful comments on the subject of isolated nouns and on earlier drafts of this article, which originated in my dissertation *Noun Patterns in the Semitic Languages* (Cambridge MA: Harvard University, 1996). Errors remain my responsibility. Work on the dissertation was supported by the Lady Davis Foundation and by the Harvard University Graduate Society.

² *Q*, *t*, and *l* are mere place-holders for the three radical consonants, without reference to whether the \sqrt{qtl} root or any given pattern with \sqrt{qtl} exists in any given language. (In Syriac and Hebrew, for example, the root is \sqrt{qtl} .) *C*₁, *C*₂ and *C*₃ are also used, particularly when specific radical positions must be indicated.

³ See Huehnergard 1987b: 221.

have a verb of the same root. There are a very few of reconstructible nouns with a common root, yet with no reconstructible verb from the root, for example, *²*imm* “mother,” alongside *²*umm-at/-ān* “people, army.” Because the great majority of the non-isolated (derived) nouns have a verb from the same root, the verb is often treated as the etymon from which the nouns are derived.⁴

There are similar, although not identical, categories of nouns referred to in the literature as isolated,⁵ primitive,⁶ unmotivated,⁷ or primary.⁸ Definitions of the terms differ slightly, although in practice there is a large overlap between the various categories.

One approach to these nouns, based on the techniques of Indo-European, assumes that most “roots” in the Proto-Indo-European sense – full reconstructed lexemes, not reconstructed abstract triconsonantal units – are verbs, from which most nouns are derived. The few “roots” (in the Indo-Europeanists’ sense) that are nouns, then, are “primitive” nouns by this definition. These nominal “roots” can form denominal nouns and verbs.⁹

Alternately, the “primitive” noun is often defined as a simple concrete term for a common item,¹⁰ such as the nouns on the Swadesh list (Swadesh 1952: 455-57).¹¹ As Proto-Semitic is reconstructed here, the nouns that fit our definition of the isolated noun often have a conceptually simple, concrete meaning, but the overlap is not complete. Still, the semantics of the nouns may help point the way to the isolated nouns, even though their status must be confirmed by an examination of the lexica of the Semitic languages.

The “primitive” nouns may also be defined as the set of all the nouns which can be reconstructed in full – in form and meaning – to the proto-language.¹² This

⁴ See Brockelmann’s (VG: 330 [§ 114]) objections to this principle.

⁵ E.g., “Isolirt” (Barth 1894: 1 [§ 1]).

⁶ E.g., Kautzsch 1910: 225 (§ 82).

⁷ E.g., “immotivato” (Fronzaroli 1963: 120). Buccellati (1996: 69-75) discusses the class of “unmotivated” noun, which includes both the “primary” nouns (corresponding to the definition of “isolated” used here) and loanwords. I received Buccellati’s study, with an important investigation of the nature of the unmotivated noun, only after the submission of the present article, and so I was unable to fully incorporate its conclusions.

⁸ E.g., “Primär” (BLE: 445 [§ 60]).

⁹ My thanks to Gideon Goldenberg, who pointed out the intrusion of such concepts from the study of the Indo-European languages into Semitics (Spring 1995).

¹⁰ Some treatments of primitive nouns are associated with discredited theories of a trend in the psychological diachronic development of language from primitive and simple to modern and sophisticated. These theories assume that conceptually “primitive” nouns are the only nouns existing in an earlier stage of human development. Voigt (1988: 47-50) discusses some of the misconceptions about the character of proto-languages, and cites the literature.

¹¹ Swadesh (1952: 455) describes his list of words (which includes various parts of speech, not just nouns) as drawn from the “‘intimate’ vocabulary,” as opposed to the “‘cultural’ part of the vocabulary.”

¹² A formal definition of “primitive” nouns as all those which are reconstructible should not be taken to imply that the linguistic ancestor of the Semitic languages had only those nouns. The formal method of reconstruction used here reconstructs to the proto-language only morphemes

definition of "primitive" nouns does not exactly overlap with the definition used here for Proto-Semitic isolated nouns: if an exclusive criterion of reconstructibility were to be applied, it would include, in the set of "primitive nouns," such nouns as **umq* "depth," and **kabid* "heavy, liver," nouns which are reconstructible, and so "primitive" by this definition; yet these nouns show verbs of the same root, and so are not "isolated," by the definition used in the present article. Nonetheless, it is a remarkable fact of the reconstruction of Proto-Semitic that most of the isolated nouns are reconstructible while most, although not all, of the nouns derived from roots *cannot* be reconstructed as a complete unit of root, pattern, and meaning. This indicates that the derived nouns have undergone language-specific re-formation by analogy, applying roots to patterns.

The special nature of Proto-Semitic isolated nouns, as they are understood here, is that they do not share triconsonantal roots with other reconstructed nouns or verbs. Thus, they do not participate in this typically Semitic means of word formation. It is this characteristic of the set of isolated nouns as opposed to the derived nouns that leads Bergsträsser, for example, to state the "system [of root and pattern] holds almost without limit in the realm of the verb and those nouns that stand in some relation to the verb; it does not pertain to the substantives proper, the primary nouns" (Bergsträsser 1983: 6).

Not only do the consonants of the isolated nouns lack morphemic status, but they fail to follow the phonological co-occurrence restrictions on root consonants typical to the Semitic languages (Fronzaroli 1963: 120-21).¹³ In most triconsonantal root morphemes, homorganic consonants are not found in C_1 and C_2 , nor in C_1 and C_3 (although the latter restriction is less complete). Except for those cases in which C_2 and C_3 are identical, the geminate roots, homorganic consonants are not found in C_2 and C_3 . Among the isolated nouns, many violations of the restrictions are found. There are isolated nouns which have homorganic C_1 and C_2 , like **ahl* "tribe, tent," **aḥad* "one," and **ḥaday* "breast"; there are some isolated nouns with homorganic C_2 and C_3 , like **gurn*, "granary, threshing floor," **šidθ* "six"; and other isolated nouns with homorganic C_1 and C_3 , like **riḡl* "foot," **raḥil* "ewe," and **tiš* "nine" or even identical C_1 and C_3 , like **ḥalāθ* "three."¹⁴

This difference between the isolated nouns and other Semitic words indicates another sense in which the isolated nouns do not have roots. The co-occurrence restrictions on Semitic roots do not apply to the entire Semitic word. Morphemes other than the root can have consonants homorganic with the root consonants. For example, a root with *t* or *d* in it can take the third person feminine singular verbal prefix *t-*, while, a root with *m* or *n* can take an D participle with *m-*. Therefore, the co-occurrence restrictions are characteristic of the root, and the failure of the isolated nouns to follow these restrictions is another difference between the consonants in the isolated nouns and the ordered sets of consonants that form a root.

which are found in wide-spread descendant languages, whereas it is quite possible that a morpheme found in the linguistic ancestor was lost in all but one language, or even that it was completely lost.

¹³ Greenberg (1950) discusses the co-occurrence restrictions and the exceptions to them among the isolated nouns. (See especially pp. 168-69).

¹⁴ Greenberg 1950: 168, 172, 175, and 177.

Even though the isolated nouns are not formally analyzable into roots and patterns, the concept of “pattern” does have relevance to the isolated nouns, if only in the strictly formal sense of an arrangement of vowels and slots for consonants. First, even isolated nouns are analyzed for root and pattern in derivation of denominal words and inflection of broken plurals in all the Semitic languages, and thus also in Proto-Semitic; second, the patterns of the isolated nouns are not scattered at random among all the available patterns, but rather are strongly clustered among a few types. In a synchronic analysis of any of the Semitic languages, there are almost no truly isolated nouns, that is, nouns which do not share a root with any other word, since the Semitic languages can extract roots from any word and create verbs and nouns on the basis of the new roots. For example, Arabic *kalb*¹⁵ and Syriac *kalbā* “dog” have associated with them the denominal verb *kaliba* and *klab* “to be rabid,” in Arabic and Syriac respectively, as well as the denominal *kallāb* and *kallābā* “dog-trainer, dog-handler.” It is clear that the denominal nouns are formed directly from “dog,” and not derived from the denominal verbs, because there are no verbs of the root \sqrt{klb} meaning “to raise/train dogs.”

A Proto-Semitic which is reconstructed according to the characteristics of the daughter languages must be reconstructed with this Common Semitic root-extraction ability, and so in this sense, even in Proto-Semitic, all nouns, including isolated nouns, can be analyzed as having a root. Yet many nouns can still be reconstructed as isolated nouns for Proto-Semitic, because these nouns occur in widespread Semitic languages, while no other words of the same root show the same wide distribution. The derivatives of such nouns, when they exist, are language-specific developments.

For this reason, even though the definition of “isolated noun” can in principle apply to nouns of the attested languages, the concept should be understood, for the purposes of this article, as relevant mostly to the reconstructed system (Fronzaroli 1963: 123).

In inflection, too, forms may be developed on the basis of roots analyzed from the isolated nouns. Arabic, some Ethiopic languages, and Modern and Old South

¹⁵ Standard citation forms are used. In Akkadian, the unbound singular is cited, along with of mimation in those forms attested in mimated dialects and time periods. In Arabic, the singular is listed, without case vowel or nunation. In Gōʾaz, the nominative singular is given. In Hebrew, the absolute singular is listed only when it is attested. Allomorphs such as the construct state, the form before suffixes, or the plural appear when the absolute singular is unattested or when they contribute to the reconstruction of the pattern. In Mehri, the singular is given in the citation form. When the word begins with *h* which is not part of the proto-form (but rather developed from a prefixed article), the *h* is separated with a hyphen. In Sabaic, the singular is given when attested; otherwise, the attested form is used. In Syriac, the “emphatic state,” along with the absolute state when available, is cited.

Hebrew and Biblical Aramaic are transliterated as follows: *ā* is *qāmeš*, *a* is *pātaḥ*, *o* is *ḥōlēm*, *u* is *šureq* or *qibbus*, *i* is *hireq* (with or without *yod*), *e* is *šere* (with or without *yod*), *ε* is *sgol*, and *šwā* goes unindicated. *Ḥāteḥ* vowels are indicated by superscription. Spirantization is indicated by underlining.

Syriac is transliterated with the vowels *ā*, *a*, *o*, *u*, *i*, *e*, and *e*, indicating the distinctions of vowels preserved in the Eastern tradition.

Arabian languages form broken plurals from almost all substantives, whether isolated or not. Occasional broken plurals are also formed in other languages, even for isolated nouns: Hebrew *ʾahim* < *^ʾ*ahh̄v̄m*- “brothers” as the plural of *ʾāh*, and Babylonian *abbū*, Assyrian *abbāʾū* “fathers” as the plural of *abum* “father.” Northwest Semitic, too, has a regular broken plural, formed by the addition of an *-a- infix to the pattern of **qatl*, **qitl*, and **qutl* nouns; this infix occurs together with the regular plural suffix, *-āt or *-v̄m (Huehnergard 1991: 284; Ginsberg 1970: 102). This infix is seen in the -ā- infix after C₂ in the absolute plural of Hebrew nouns (e.g., *klābim* “dogs” as the plural of *kēleb*); also, the since-lost *a is evident in the spirantization of C₃ in the plurals of monovocalic¹⁶ Aramaic nouns such as *kalbe* (or *kalbayyā*) “dogs” and in the construct plurals of monovocalic Hebrew nouns, such as *kalbe* “dogs of.” Ugaritic shows a similar formation through its alephs, as for example *rašm* /*raʾašv̄mal* “heads,” the plural of *riš* /*raʾšul* “head,” and also in syllabic transcription, as for example *ḥa-ba-li-ma* /*ḥabalīmal* “ropes, lots,” and *na-bā-ki-ma* /*nabakīmal* (beside syncopated *na-ab-ki-ma* /*nabkīmal*) “springs” (Huehnergard 1987c: 282, 304).¹⁷

The Northwest Semitic *-a- infix has important consequences for the significance of the pattern as a component of the isolated nouns. Because this plural-formation procedure applies only to **qvtl* nouns, the pattern, even the pattern of isolated nouns, has a role in the inflectional system as a conditioning factor for a morphological rule.

If we can reconstruct the broken plural to Proto-Semitic, then the pluralization of nouns is yet another type of analysis of isolated nouns into root and pattern in Proto-Semitic, since the broken plural preserves the root, but replaces the pattern (sometimes choosing a plural pattern on the basis of the singular). And in fact, there is ample evidence from throughout the Semitic family for the broken plural. Not only do Arabic, some of the Ethiopic family, the Modern South Arabian family, and the Old South Arabian family include productive broken plurals, but Northwest Semitic has the productive **qvtl* → **qvtal+v̄ma* plural. Remnants of the broken plural in Akkadian include the reflexes of **qatalā*, found also in Arabic (Huehnergard 1987a: 181-88), as well as *abbū* “fathers,” *ahh̄ū* “brothers,” and *išsū* “trees,” which show a doubling of the second consonant. Languages in which the broken plural is not productive have some plural nouns whose pattern has no relation to that of the singular, as for example Hebrew *reʿkeb* “horsemen,” and Syriac *qritā* “town,” plural *quryā*, and *ḥmārā* “donkey,” plural *ḥemrā*.¹⁸

¹⁶ *Qvtl* patterns should not properly be termed “monosyllabic,” since they are bisyllabic in the reconstruction **qvtlum* with case vowel and mimation. A syllabic division of the *qvtl* pattern, by itself, is impossible. But the *qvtl* pattern has only one vowel, and so should be termed monovocalic. Likewise, *qvtl* patterns should be termed bivocalic.

¹⁷ Thus, for the plural of *qvtl* nouns, Ugaritic has both *qvtalv̄ma* and *qvtlv̄ma*. The latter is formed with an optional syncope role (Huehnergard 1987c: 280-82).

¹⁸ These Syriac plurals are marked with *syāme*, indicating that they were considered plurals by the scribes.

Even though patterns are not defined for the isolated nouns as units of meaning, the distribution of formal patterns is not random: some patterns have no isolated nouns, while others have a large number.

In order to examine this distribution, a count was conducted of the formal patterns of the isolated nouns, as reconstructed in the list below. Some uncertainty will necessarily remain, but clear trends are evident in the distribution of the patterns. Most of the nouns are monovocalic patterns, i.e., **qvtl* (60% of the isolated nouns), and most of the monovocalics are **qatl* nouns (63% of the monovocalic isolated nouns and 29% of all the isolated nouns are **qatl*). Among the **qvtl* nouns, next in frequency after **qatl* is **qitl* (25% of the monovocalic isolated nouns) and then **qutl* (12% of the monovocalic isolated nouns).

Among the bivocalics, the **qatvl* nouns are in the majority (70% of the bivocalic isolated nouns with ungeminated C_2). By far the largest group of bivocalics is the set of **qatal* isolated nouns (75% of the **qatvl* isolated nouns). Some **qatal* nouns with a collective sense may owe their second **a* vowel to back-formations from the plural, if they are based on a **qvtal(vma)* form with the **-a-* plural infix seen regularly in **qvtl* nouns in Northwest Semitic and in some Arabic and Ethiopic broken plurals (Huehnergard 1995: 16). If so, however, the plural or collective semantics are no longer consistently apparent. There is a smaller group of isolated **qatil* nouns (23% of the **qatvl* isolated nouns). Among these, a semantic group that stands out is a group of nouns for body parts, a pattern seen most clearly in Hebrew and Arabic, and to some extent in Akkadian.¹⁹ These nouns are **aqib* “heel,” Akkadian *eqbum*, Arabic *aqib*, Hebrew *aqeb*; **katip* “shoulder,” Arabic *katif* (beside *kitf*), Hebrew *katep* (construct *kétep* from **qatl* or **qitl*), Syriac *katpā*; **kariš* “belly,” Akkadian *karšum*,²⁰ Arabic *kariš*, Gǝʕz *kars*, Hebrew *kāreš*, Syriac *karsā*; and **warik* “thigh,” Akkadian *warkatum*, Arabic *warik* (beside *wark*, *wirk*, *warak*), Hebrew *yārek* (construct *yérek*). In this group may also be **rahim* “womb” (if this is an isolated noun and not related to a verb from **rḥm* “love, have mercy”), Akkadian *rēmum*, Arabic *rahim* (beside *rahm* and *rihm*), and Syriac *rahmā*, but Hebrew *rēhem* (following the synchronic pattern for **qatl* noun from strong roots; there is also *rāham*, the expected form for a II-guttural **qatl* noun). In addition, **kabid* “liver” is reconstructible to Proto-Semitic, although it is not an isolated noun, since it coincides with **kabid* “heavy.” Nouns from **kabid* “liver” include Akkadian *kabitu*, Arabic *kabid* (beside *kabd* and *kibd*), Gǝʕz *kabd*, Hebrew *kābed*, and Syriac *kabdā*. A correlation between the **qatil* pattern and the semantic category of body parts constitutes evidence for a role of patterns, albeit a small one, in the semantics of the Proto-Semitic isolated noun.

¹⁹ In Ethiopic, the **i* is lost. In Aramaic, the **i* is lost in the emphatic state, and the anaptyctic **i* in **qvtl* nouns means that **qatil* is indistinguishable from **qvtl* in the absolute and construct states. In Akkadian, the **i* should be visible after C_2 in forms without vocalic endings, but the available forms do not provide unequivocal evidence. Since the body-part nouns are substantives, and Akkadian consistently distinguishes underlying *qvtl* from *qatvl* stems for substantives and adjectives respectively (Kienast 1989: 279-80, 286), it is quite likely that the **qatil* patterns of body-part nouns merged fully into the **qatl* pattern.

²⁰ The construct state *karas* is attested, indicating that *karšum* is not from **qatil*, but rather from **qatl* or **qatal*.

Many of the **qatil* body-part nouns have **qitl* or **qatl* bifurms in both Hebrew and Arabic, and so this alternation is reconstructed for Proto-Central-Semitic. In Hebrew, the construct state often shows a proto-pattern different from that of the absolute state (e.g., *kāteṗ* ~ *kéteṗ* and *yārek* ~ *yérek*), and in Arabic, the nouns often appear in several different patterns with no semantic distinction, possibly on a dialectal basis (e.g., *katif* ~ *kitf* and *warik* ~ *wark* ~ *wirk*).

There are no **qatul*'s among the isolated nouns, except perhaps for **šabu^c* "hyena." Arabic *dabu^c* (with the bifurm *dab^c*),²¹ and Hebrew *šāboā^c* suggest Proto-Semitic **qatul*. Syriac *ʿap^cā*,²² Gǝʿəz *šəb^c*,²³ and Akkadian *būšum* (if from **ba^cuš^c*)²⁴ could come from **qatul* among other patterns. Thus, the reconstruction **qatul* is the only Proto-Semitic pattern supported by all the languages.²⁵ The Hebrew, Gǝʿəz, and Akkadian forms could also come from **qutul*, and the analogical re-shuffling of Aramaic patterns could produce the Syriac form from **qutul* as well. The metatheses in this word – the consonants appear in the orders **šb^c*, **b^cš^c*, and **š^cb^c* – suggest that this may be a Proto-Semitic taboo word. Its precise reconstruction is therefore difficult.

The order of frequency of the vowels seen in the **qṽtl* monovocalics, **a*, **i*, **u*, is also present here in the **qatṽl* bivocalics. In the West Semitic perfect based on the Proto-Semitic predicative form of the verbal adjective **qatṽl*, the same order of frequency of internal patterns occurs. Arabic, Hebrew, and Aramaic have the order of frequency **a*, **i*, and **u*, and in Gǝʿəz, *qatala* verbs (**a* theme vowel) outnumber *qatla* (**i* or **u* theme vowel). Thus, the distribution of the vowels of the West Semitic perfect stem – the Proto-Semitic verbal adjective – is like that of the isolated noun patterns. In Akkadian, on the other hand, the most common vowel for the verbal adjective is **i*, with **u* and **a* far less common. Akkadian **qatil-* and West Semitic **qatal-* as the bases of the suffixal conjugations probably spread through leveling in the respective sub-families of Semitic.

**Qṽtl* and **qatṽl* patterns are the main triconsonantal forms for the isolated nouns. There are also quite a few Proto-Semitic biconsonantal **qṽl* nouns²⁶ (11% of the Proto-Semitic isolated nouns).²⁷ Again, the order of frequency of the vowels of the

²¹ According to Lane (1766) these bifurms have origins in different dialects, *dabu^c* from Qays and *dab^c* from the Tamīm.

²² The initial *ʿ < š* dissimilates to *ʿ* under the influence of the following **š*, as in Syriac *ʿurfā* "frog" (compare Hebrew *špardeā^c*, Arabic *difdī^c*, Mehri *šəfdēt*) and *ʿefā* "rib" (**šila^c*) or Biblical Aramaic *ʿā* "tree, wood" (from the root **š^c*). The proto-pattern of *ʿap^cā* could be **qatl* or **qatṽl*.

²³ The development **qatul* to **qutul* by a rule of assimilation around gutturals is possible for this word, but a reconstruction of *šəb^c* as proto-**qitl*, **qutl*, or **qutul* is equally possible.

²⁴ *Būšum* could be from **qutl* as well as **qatul*.

²⁵ See Brockelmann VG: 337 [§ 120].

²⁶ According to Voigt (1988: 61-64, 209-10), only among the isolated nouns are truly biradical roots found (other than, perhaps, among the geminate roots).

Nöldeke (1910) discusses these in detail; many of the nouns mentioned there are particular to Arabic or to Central Semitic and not reconstructible to Proto-Semitic.

²⁷ **Pṽlpvm* "mouth," may be a monoconsonantal. There is also Ugaritic *g* "voice," although this is not reconstructible.

biconsonantal isolated nouns, like the order of frequency of the vowels of the **qvtl* and **qatv̄l* isolated nouns, is **a*, **i*, **u*.

The definition of the isolated nouns implies that all **qvl* nouns are isolated nouns. The non-isolated nouns are those derived from a verbal root, and the biconsonantal structure **qvl* does not allow for derivation from a triconsonantal root. There are some derived **qvl* nouns (e.g., **θām* “garlic”), and some **yvqvl* “hollow” forms of the **yvqvtvl* form, but these are synchronically analyzed by the languages as triconsonantal, with a glide as C_2 .

The quadriconsonantal patterns constitute 11% of the Proto-Semitic patterns. These include a variety of pattern types, including a number of $*C_1vC_2C_1vC_2$ patterns, with no formal consistency.

There are a few isolated Proto-Semitic nouns scattered among other triconsonantal patterns. The **qatv̄l* patterns constitute only 3% of the Proto-Semitic patterns. However, to the extent that so few data may be relied on, the picture is similar to that of the **qatv̄l* nouns: these too show main vowels in the order of frequency **a*, **i*, and **u*. There are also a few **qital*, **qitāl*, and **qutāl* patterns (5% of the isolated nouns), again with no significant consistency of form.²⁸

The great rarity of **u* among the isolated nouns is partially the result of the assumption of labialization used here for nouns with a labial consonant and with evidence for proto-**u* is some languages and **i* or **a* in others. (See below, p. 12) The fact that most apparent reflexes of **u* are attributable to labial consonants lends support to Diakonoff’s thesis (1975: 134) that the vowels commonly reconstructed as **i* and **u* come from a common source, which he denotes ə . Still, **i* and **u* are well-distinguished in the systems of verbs and derived nouns, so their separate reconstruction is required.

Gemination is nearly or completely non-existent in the reconstruction of the isolated nouns. Nouns with gemination (see the list below) include **kammūn* “cumin” and **rummān* “pomegranate,” although these words may be *voces peregrinatae*, culture-words which were borrowed from one Semitic language to another, or even from outside the Semitic language family. *ʾAyyāl* “ibex” may be isolated, if not related to the root $*\sqrt{v}l$ “strong, first.” Hebrew *pēhām* “coal” comes from **qattal*, but Arabic has **qatl* and other languages do not allow the determination concerning the presence of gemination. Hebrew *pēhām* may be the product of semantic analogy with *gaḥēlēṭ* (**qattal*) “coal,” (plural *gaḥālim*). **ʾImmar* “sheep,” found in Akkadian, Aramaic, and Ugaritic, is another isolated noun apparently reconstructible with geminate C_2 , although the evidence for gemination is only clear in Akkadian.

Another important constraint on the distribution of the patterns of the isolated nouns is that **a* is by far the most common vowel for the first syllable of the triconsonantal bivocalics, **qṽl(t)v̄l*. (Of the **qṽl(t)v̄l* nouns, 73% have **a* in the first syllable.)

²⁸ There may be another pattern for isolated nouns, **qutul*, suggested by Hebrew (e.g., *bḵor* “first-born” and *h̄lōm* “dream”), but these are exceedingly rare. In any case, it is impossible to reconstruct a Proto-Semitic **qutul* isolated pattern, since the other languages contradict Hebrew (e.g., Arabic *bikr*, Biblical Aramaic *h̄lēm*, Arabic *ḥulm*. $G\acute{o}z$ *ḥālm* could be **qutul*, **qitl*, or **qutl*).

The near absence of affirmational patterns is an important pattern-based restriction on the isolated nouns.

There are a number of isolated nouns with sufformative **-at*, a morpheme analyzable²⁹ as a marker of the feminine and nomen unitatis. For example, **kall-at* "bride, daughter-in-law"³⁰ has the feminine sufformative **-at* (which is productive on feminine attributive adjectives and occurs on many other words as well); but this noun does not share a triradical root such as **√kll* with reconstructible nouns or verbs of similar semantics, and it may be termed isolated.

Interestingly, Proto-Semitic isolated nouns are not otherwise reconstructible with affirmatives, even though by the definition applied here, a noun with affirmatives *could* be an isolated noun. For example, if there were a reconstructible noun in the semantic category of "location" beginning in **ma-*, with the pattern **maqtal*, but not sharing the last three consonants with another word of related meaning, then that would be an isolated noun with an affirmative.³¹

With isolated nouns ending in **-ān*, it can be difficult to determine if the **-ān* is to be considered an affirmative. **Lišān*³² "tongue" is isolated within Semitic, but the **-ān* suffix/sufformative is recognized on other words within Semitic. Despite the likelihood that at the Proto-Afroasiatic stage of reconstruction "tongue" lacks the **-ān* suffix,³³ there is no reason, given the Semitic evidence, not to consider **lišān* an sufformativeless Proto-Semitic isolated noun with pattern **qitāl*. **Atān* "jenny" presents a similar problem. On the other hand, in Hebrew *ʾādon* "lord," and Ugaritic *ʾadānu* (UT 351-52; Huehnergard 1987c: 104), besides *ʾadu* "lord, father," the evidence of the Ugaritic *ʾadu* may permit the separation of the **-ān* suffix.³⁴ Another possible isolated noun with **-ān* is "oak," Hebrew *ʾallon* and *ʾallā*, Ugaritic *ʾallānu* (Huehnergard 1987c: 107), Akkadian *allānu*, since the existence of Hebrew *ʾallā* (a hapax legomenon), without **-ān*, may allow the analysis of the sufformative as a separate element.

²⁹ This morpheme is analyzable in the sense that there are other pairs of words distinguished only by its presence or absence, even though in the isolated nouns with **-at* it follows from the definition that there is no noun with similar meaning and form, but lacking **-at*. See Aronoff 1976: 10-11.

³⁰ Some other examples are **ʾam-at* "female slave," **dal-t* "door," **mi²-(a)t* "hundred," **dim²-at* "tear," **ḥim²-at* "curds, butter," and perhaps **hawa/āt* "word, speech" (Huehnergard 1987c: 302, n. 25). See the list of isolated nouns below.

³¹ An alternate definition of an isolated noun, not used here, may impose the additional condition that an isolated noun be monomorphemic. In that case, the isolated noun would have to be without analyzable affirmatives, besides being without root and pattern in the sense defined above.

³² Hebrew and Ugaritic (Huehnergard 1987c: 143) have **lašān*, while Akkadian, Ethiopic, and Arabic have **lišān*. Aramaic has **liššān*, represented by Syriac *leššānā*, Biblical Aramaic *liššān*. The first vowel may be shifted from **a* under the influence of the sibilant *š* (Nöldeke 1904a: 32). The doubling of the *š* seems to be a regular phonological rule in Syriac, #*CišV* > #*CiššV*. Other examples are *nešše* "women," *ʾeššāta* "fever" (from **iš* "fire"), *ḥeššokā* "dark." (J. Huehnergard, personal communication, Spring 1996). There is also the absolute/construct state *qeššat* "bow," with doubled *š*, compared to the emphatic *qeštā* with *quššāyā* on the *t*.

³³ Skinner (1987: 79-83) suggests **nš(m)* for Proto-Afroasiatic, and says that **lš* is possible for a stage immediately preceding Semitic.

³⁴ *ʾAdānu* may, however, be a loanword from Hurrian.

Some affirmatives have been proposed on comparative Afroasiatic grounds for isolated nouns, but they are not analyzable within the Semitic languages or Proto-Semitic, and so such nouns should be regarded as *afformativeless* Proto-Semitic isolated nouns. The nouns with the proposed **-b* sufformative for wild animals or **-l* for domesticated animals (Diakonoff 1988: 570) fall into this category.

Most isolated nouns show an important characteristic that differentiates them from most derived nouns – they may be reconstructed in whole. In derived nouns, the patterns may be reconstructed, and the roots may be reconstructed, but the root, pattern, and meaning that make up an internally-formed Semitic word generally do not show enough consistency among the Semitic languages to allow reconstruction of the whole word. There are exceptions, of course, in both categories: there are isolated nouns whose patterns are difficult to reconstruct (see items marked with a minus sign in the list below), and derived nouns which show consistency among the Semitic languages (like the aforementioned **kabid* “heavy, liver,” and **^cumq* “depth”).

The isolated nouns are a self-contained group of Proto-Semitic words which do not interact with the remainder of the linguistic system through the medium of a root. They show several notable features: their meanings tend to be simple and concrete; their consonants, formal vowel patterns, and meanings show far more consistency throughout the Semitic languages than other nouns. Thus, the isolated nouns give us a glimpse into a Proto-Semitic that is uninfluenced by the analogizing tendencies of the root and pattern system.

Part B. Reconstruction of the Isolated Nouns

The following is a list of Proto-Semitic isolated nouns. The inclusion or exclusion of items from this list can never be certain: when languages have verbs of the same root as a noun, there is no way of determining whether the verbs are denominal. Occasionally, especially in the South Semitic *Gəʕəz* and Mehri, only an *m*-preformative noun exists beside a verb (e.g., *Gəʕəz mabraq* “lightning”), suggesting that the *m*-preformative noun is derived from a root, but in these cases, the evidence of other, widely-spread Semitic languages, prevails. When the formal roots and meanings are cognate, but patterns are not, more than one proto-pattern is listed. Since this list is primarily intended to collate the patterns of the isolated nouns, not all bifurms and allomorphs are listed, although the ones with significance in reconstruction are. Because of the special developments that they undergo, proper nouns are almost entirely excluded, even when they are the only available cognate of an isolated noun found in other languages.

We can never know the full lexicon of the language spoken by the linguistic ancestors of the Semites. The reconstruction here uses a formal convention for Proto-Semitic: a word that occurs in two of the three groups East, Central, and South Semitic is included in the list.³⁵ A word that is found in only one subgroup is

³⁵ The classification adopted here follows the system of Hetzron (1974; 1976: 101-6) as modified by Huehnergard (1991: 283; 1992). The place of the Old South Arabian languages in the

excluded according to this convention. A word found in only Central and South Semitic is included, even though only a reconstruction to Proto-West-Semitic is allowed by attestation in these groups. This convention does not exclude the possibility that an isolated noun was lost in most of the Semitic languages but that it was preserved in one language, or in a few closely related languages. But words found in widespread languages are less likely to be the result of independent language-internal developments, unless borrowing can be shown, and so the exclusion of nouns found only in one language group brings consistency to the process of reconstruction. When loanwords are listed, a notation is made that they are loanwords.

An unequivocal reconstruction (marked with + in the list below) is made when at least two widely separated Semitic languages agree on a proto-pattern, and no languages contradict; or, when a language contradicts, there is an explanation for the change in pattern that allows the reconstruction, such as analogy and borrowing.

Often, not all of the Semitic words are perfect cognates in root and pattern, and sometimes more than one Proto-Semitic pattern is given (marked with ° below). This does not mean that the proto-language is reconstructed with biforms, but rather that two possible patterns present themselves for reconstruction. In these cases, the pattern that appears in more than one language, preferably in widely distributed languages, is listed first, if there is such a pattern. Usually, however, when there are alternate patterns, none of them appears more likely than the others, and then **qatl* is listed first, followed by **qitl*, **qutl*, **qatal*, **qatil*, and so on.

When the languages suggest quite different proto-patterns, all are listed, but these reconstructed patterns (marked with -) are not included in the counts. It is assumed in these cases that some of the words may have undergone a complete morphological pattern replacement, rather than just a phonological development, and no reconstruction is possible. In these cases, one pattern is arbitrarily chosen to head the entry, but that pattern has no priority over the others. Even when a few alternate patterns are listed, the minus sign indicates that no clear reconstruction of a pattern can be made.

In the statistical count, all quadriradical patterns are treated together.

In order to take into account both the nouns for which only one pattern (+) and those for which more than one pattern (°) is reconstructed, while not giving each of the latter type of pattern as much weight as the former, calculations of the relative frequency of the patterns in Proto-Semitic in this analysis use a "pattern value" equal to the sum of the number of words for which a given pattern is reconstructed exclusively (marked with +) plus half the number of words for which the pattern is reconstructed alongside others (marked with °). For example, for 68 of the isolated nouns, only **qatl* is reconstructed (marked with +), while for 29 other nouns, some languages attest to **qatl* and other languages attest to other patterns, with no simple explanation for the alternate pattern such as borrowing or semantic analogy (these

classification scheme has not yet been definitely fixed. There is a strong basis, however, for classifying them in Central Semitic, along with Arabic and Northwest Semitic (Voigt 1987: 13-14; Nebes 1994: 78).

cases are marked with °). The pattern value, then, is $68 + \frac{1}{2} \times 29 = 82.5$. Nouns marked with a minus sign are not counted in this calculation.

If we were to use only those nouns for which a unique pattern may be reconstructed (+), the results would not be very different. For the larger groups of patterns, the result gained by the latter method shows a relative fraction of the group of patterns (out of the set of isolated nouns) that varies by only 5% or less from the result gained by the “pattern value” method. All the statements made about the relative frequency of various groups of patterns remain the same regardless of which method is used.

An approximate gloss is listed for the Proto-Semitic words. When the reflex in one of the languages has an exceptional meaning that diverges greatly, it is provided after the entry for that language. For reasons of space, the debates that often surround the glosses of the nouns and the relations between the glosses in the languages are not summarized, since the primary interest of this list is the forms of the isolated nouns.

Some developments are given less weight in reconstruction than others. When languages are known to change patterns without phonological regularity, these possibilities are taken into account in reconstruction. Thus, for example, Arabic often has dialectal biforms like **qatil* ~ **qitl*, as for example *raḥīl* ~ *riḥl* “ewe,” so these biforms are given less weight than forms from other languages.

Aramaic has frequent alternations and allomorphic biforms among the reflexes of **qvtl* and **qvtvl*, because of anaptyxis and analogy,³⁶ and so the Aramaic evidence is given less weight in this regard. If Aramaic disagrees with the other languages on which of the **qvtl* or **qvtvl* patterns is to be reconstructed, the pattern suggested by the other languages is reconstructed unequivocally. Also, because the historical phonology of Modern South Arabian is understood less than that of other languages, the Modern South Arabian evidence is allowed to influence the reconstructions only when the proto-pattern of the Modern South Arabian word is evident.

When the vowel **u* appears in the vicinity of a labial consonant in some languages, while **i*, or less commonly **a*, appears in other languages, the **u* is assumed to be the result of labialization, even if the development is not phonologically regular. For example, Semitic “mother” is reconstructed as Proto-Semitic **imm*, on the assumption that the **i* shifted to **u* in the vicinity of the **m* in some of the languages, such as Akkadian, Arabic, and Ugaritic (and perhaps *Gāʾaz*). Other examples are **š(i)m*, **amm-at*, perhaps **abn*, **alp*, **amm*, **barr*, **gapn*, **karm*, **matn*, **š/samm*, **šamn*, **bīr*, **birk*, **libb*, **riḥm*, **ramḥ*, and **θipr*. (See the list below for glosses and reflexes.) The variant vowel **u* appears in some cases in many Semitic languages and in some cases in a few, but in all cases in which labialization is possible, the variants with **a* or **i* have been preferred in reconstruction to the variant with **u*.

Sources used are Barth 1894: 1-9 (§§ 1-3); BLe 445-506 (§§ 60-61); Diakonoff 1970; LaSor 1990 (the data in this article are to be treated with caution); Leslau 1958; Nöldeke 1910; and Rabin 1975 as well as the dictionaries AHw, BDB, BGMR, Brockelmann-Lex Syr, Dillmann 1865, the glossary of UT (alphabetic

³⁶ See Muraoka 1976, Spitaler 1968, and Blake 1953: 14-15.

sources for Ugaritic), Huehnergard 1987c (vocalized Ugaritic words from syllabic sources), Johnstone 1981, 1987, Lane, Leslau 1938, 1956, 1979, 1989, EDH, and CDG, Littmann and Höfner 1956-62, and CSD. Other sources are cited in the notes. Forms from a representative sample of the Semitic languages, Akkadian, Arabic, G^oaz, Hebrew, Mehri, Sabaic, Syriac, and Ugaritic, are given. Modern South Arabian languages other than Mehri, Ethiopic languages other than G^oaz, and dialects of Aramaic other than Syriac are adduced only when they make an important contribution to the reconstruction not made by the primary dialect. Hebrew citations are mostly drawn from Massoretic Biblical Hebrew, with some references to Mishnaic and Hexaplaric Hebrew, and Arabic citations are mostly from the Classical form of the language, with some references to modern spoken dialects. References to Akkadian are primarily to Old Babylonian, but evidence from other dialects is adduced when it can contribute to the reconstruction. The reconstructions to Proto-Semitic are by the author of the present article. The forms are sorted in the list by pattern, using the following characteristics of the pattern in this order of precedence: number of radicals;³⁷ mono- or bivocalic (for triradical nouns); quality of first vowel; quality of second vowel if any; quantity of first vowel; quantity of second vowel if any; C₂ ungeminated or geminated. Within each pattern, nouns with +, °, and – are gathered together (as mentioned above, the sorting of nouns in the latter two classes may be arbitrary). Within each of these classes, nouns are sorted by Proto-Semitic root, with the consonants taken in this order (based on the Latin order): *p, *q, *r, *s, *š, *ś, *s̄, *s̅, *t, *t̄, *θ, *θ̄, *w, *y, *z.

List of Reconstructible Isolated Nouns³⁸

°*q^ov̄; *p^ov̄, const. *p^ov̄;³⁹ “mouth”; Akk *pūm*, OAk *pā^oum*, *pūum*; Arab *fam*, const. *f^ov̄/fam*; G^oaz *af*, with suffix *afv̄*; Heb *pe*, const. *pi*, pl. *piyyot*, *pipiyyot*; Sab *f* “voice”; Syr *pummā*; Ug *p*
 +*qal; *^oab, const. *^oabv̄; “father”;⁴⁰ Akk *abum*, const. *abī*; Arab *ab*, const. *abv̄*; G^oaz *ab*, with suffix *abv̄*; Heb *ab*, const. *abi*;⁴¹ Meh *h-ayb* (*h-* is a prefix originating in a MSA article); Sab *b*; Syr *abā*; Ug *ab*

³⁷ Of course, the “radicals” are part of a formal root, not a derivational root. Isolated nouns with repeated elements, *C₁VC₂C₁VC₃, are presented among the quadriradical roots below, alongside the few quadriradical nouns with no repeated consonants.

³⁸ Abbreviations (in addition to those listed in ZAH 1 [1988] 2-16) are as follows. Languages and dialects are Akk(adian), Arab(ic), Aram(aic), Ug(aritic), Heb(rew), Meh(ri), M(odern) S(outh) A(rabian), O(ld)/M(iddle)/N(ew)/S(tandard) A(ssyrian)/Ak(kadian)/B(abylonian), Sab(aic), Syr(iac). PS = Proto-Semitic. Grammatical terminology: Pl.= plural, sg.= singular, const.= construct, nom. un. = *nomen unitatis*. Special symbols (see above, pp. 11ff. for further explanation): “+”= definitely reconstructible, “°”= more than one possible reconstruction, “-”= no reconstruction is possible by the methods used here.

³⁹ See Skinner 1977: 58-62.

⁴⁰ See Nöldeke 1904b on the semantic analogy between *^oab and *^oimm that makes their forms converge.

- +*qal; *²ah, const. *ahv̄, pl. *²ahh-; “brother”; Akk *ahum*, pl. *ahhū*; Arab ²ah, const. ²ahv̄; Gǝʿaz ²ah^v, ²ahəw, with suffix ²ah^(w)v̄; Heb ²ah, const. ²ahī, pl. ²ahim (*²ahh̄v̄ma); Meh *gā*; Sab ²h; Syr ²ahā; Ug *ah*⁴²
- +*qal-at; *²am-at; “female slave”; Akk *amtum*; Arab ²amat; Gǝʿaz ²amat; Heb ²amā; Sab ²mt; Syr ²amtā¹amat; Ug *amt*
- +*qal-t; *dal-t; “door”; Akk *daltum*; Galilean Aram *daltā*; Heb *dēlet*, with suffix *dalto*, also const. *dal* (from absolute *dāl*); Syr pl. ²adlātā, ²edlātā (Perhaps an Akkadian loanword, related to *edēlum* “to lock,” or the result of prosthesis from **dlātā*?); Ug *dlt*
- +*qal; *dam; “blood”; Akk *damum*; Arab *dam*; Gǝʿaz *dam*; Heb *dām*; Sab *dm*; Syr *dmā/dem*; Ug *damu*
- +*qal; *ham, const. *hamv̄; “husband’s father”; Akk *emum*; Arab *ham*, const. *hamv̄* “husband’s male relation”; Gǝʿaz *ham*, with suffix *hamv̄*; Heb with suffix *hāmīkā*; Meh *haym*; Syr *hmā*
- +*qal; *ma²; “water”; Akk *mū*, OAk *mā ū*; Arab *mā²*; Gǝʿaz *māy*; Heb *máyim*, pl. *meme*; Meh *hə-mō*; Sab *mw*; Syr *mayyā*; Ug *my*, *mym*
- +*qal-t; *qaš-t; “bow”; Akk *qašum*; Arab *qaws*; Gǝʿaz *qast*; Heb *qēšet*, with suffix *qašti*; Syr *qeštālqeššat*; Ug *qaštu*
- +*qal-at; *šap-at; “lip”; Akk *šaptum*; Arab *šafat*; Heb *šāpā*; Syr *septā/spā*; Ug *špt*
- +*qal; *šaw; “sheep” (collective); Akk *šūm* MA, NA *šūbu* (*šu-(ū)-be-(e)*), SB *šū u*, thus Proto-Akk **šū²-/*šuw²*; ⁴³ Arab *šā²*; Galilean Aram *šīlā*; Heb *še*, const. *še*, with suffix *šeyo* and *šyehu*; Sab dual *s²hn*; Ug *š*
- +*qal; *yad; “arm, hand”; Akk *idum* “side”; Arab *yad*; Gǝʿaz ²ad; Heb *yād*; Meh *h-ayd*; Sab *yd*; Syr ²idāl/yad; Ug *yd*
- *qallqil; *naš/niš; ⁴⁴ “people”; Akk *nišū* “people”; Arab *nisā²*, *niswat* “women”; Biblical Aram *nšehon*; Heb *nāšim* “women”; Syr *nešše* “women”; Ug *našūma* “people”
- *qal-at; *raḥ-at; “palm of hand”; Akk *rettum*; Arab *rāḥat*; Gǝʿaz ²arāḥ; Heb *rāḥat* “winnowing shovel”; Syr *laḥtā* (irregular consonant correspondence)
- +*qil; *il; “god”; Akk *ilum*; Arab ²ilāḥ; Heb ²el, ²loah; Sab ²l; Syr ²allāḥā; Ug ²ilu

⁴¹ *²ibb “bud, fruit” may be reconstructed to Proto-Northwest Semitic. Note also Hebrew ²ābib “ripe wheat” and Amharic *abāba* “flower,” with the same root and similar meaning. Yet the semantic difference between *²ibb and “father” is significant, and *²ibb may be related to Arabic ²unbūb “internodal joint of a cane or reed” and Biblical Aramaic (with suffix) ²inbeh “fruit” (Hebrew pl. construct ²ibbe, Syriac ²ebbā).

⁴² *ah* is the usual writing, but there also appear a nominative singular construct state *uh* *l’uhūl*, and a genitive singular with suffix *iḥh* *l’iḥīḥul*. The Ugaritic rule of vowel assimilation around gutturals sometimes operates across the morphological boundaries between the word base and the case vowel; sometimes, however, paradigm leveling causes the vowel of this noun to remain *a*, since the internal pattern does not otherwise vary with case (Huehnergard 1987c: 272-73, including nn. 29, 30).

⁴³ J. Huehnergard, personal communication, Fall 1995.

⁴⁴ Compare also nouns with the consonants *²nš: Arab (*u*)*nās* “mankind,” ²anas “people”; Heb ²noš “man, mankind,” ²nāšim “people”; Meh ²ans “humans” (collective, loanword?); Syr <>*nāšā* “man, mankind,” Biblical Aram ²nāš, ²noš; also, with *²yš: *²iš; Heb ²iš “man,” ²ēšet “woman” (const.), pre-suffixal form ²išti; Sab ²ys.

- +**qil(-ā)*; ⁴⁵ *^ʔ*iš(-āt)*; “fire”; Akk *išātum*; Gəʿəz ^ʔ*asāt*; Heb ^ʔ*eš*, with suffix ^ʔ*iššo*, ^ʔ*iškem*; ⁴⁶ Syr ^ʔ*eššātā* “fever”; Ug ^ʔ*ištu* ⁴⁷
- +**qil*; *^ʔ*iš*; “tree, wood”; Akk *išum*, pl. *iššū*; Arab ^ʔ*idat*; Biblical Aram ^ʔ*ā*; Gəʿəz ^ʔ*ād*; Heb ^ʔ*es*, pl. ^ʔ*esim*; Sab ^ʔ*d*; Ug ^ʔ*š*, pl. ^ʔ*iššūma*
- +**q(i)l*; ⁴⁸ *^ʔ*b(i)n*, pl. **ban-*; “son”; Akk (rare) *binum*, *bunum*; Arab (*i*)*bn*, sound pl. *banūna*; Heb *ben*, pl. *bānim*, with suffix *bn-*; Meh *bər*, *ḥəbre*; Sab *bn-m*; Syr *brā/bar*, pl. *bnin*; Ug *bn*
- +**qil(-a)t*; *^ʔ*mī(-a)t*; “hundred”; Akk *mēʔat*, *mēʔtum*, *mētum*; Arab *mīʔat*; Gəʿəz *māʔt*; Heb *mēʔā*, const. *māʔat*, pl. *mēʔot*, dual *māʔtāyim*; Sab *mʔt*; Syr *m<ʔ>ā*; Ug *mīʔtu*
- +**qil-at*; *^ʔ*pī-at*; “corner, forehead, temple (of head)”; Amharic *fi* “face”; Akk NB, Assyrian *pātum* “edge,” *pūtum* “forehead” (corner/edge of head); Arab *fīʔat*; Gəʿəz *fi*; Heb *pēʔā* “corner, temple (of head),” const. *pʔat*; Soqotri *fīo* “front”; Syr *p<ʔ>ātā*; Ug *pītu*
- +**qil-at*; *^ʔ*ri-at*; “lung”; Akk *irtum* “chest” (with metathesis); Arab *riʔat*; Heb, Mishnaic *reʔā*; Meh *rəyē*; Syr *ra<ʔ>tā*, *rā<ʔ>tā*, *rātā*; Ug *iratu* (with metathesis)
- +**q(i)l*; *^ʔ*s(i)m*; “name”; Akk *šumum*; Arab (*i*)*sm*; Gəʿəz *səm*; Heb *šem*, const. *šem*, with suffix *šmi*, *šimkā*; Meh *ham*; Sab *s¹m*; Syr *šmā/šum*; Ug *šm*
- +**q(i)l*; *^ʔ*s(i)t*; “buttocks”; Akk *išdum* (relation to *^ʔ*s(i)t* uncertain); Arab (*i*)*st*; Heb *šet*; Meh *šit*; Syr *štā*, *eštā*, masc. *šet*
- +**q(i)l*; *^ʔ*θ(i)n*; “two”; Akk *šinā*; Arab (*i*)*θnān*; Gəʿəz *sanuy* “Monday,” *sānəy* “the next day”; Heb *šnáyim*, fem. *štáyim*; ⁴⁹ Meh *atrō*; Sab *θny*; Syr *tren*, fem. *tarten*; Ug *θn(m)*
- +**qul*; **mut*; “man, husband”; Akk *mutum*; ⁵⁰ Gəʿəz *mət*; Heb pl. *mtim*; Ug *mt*
- +**qatl*; *^ʔ*abn*; “stone”; Akk *abnum*; Gəʿəz ^ʔ*əbn*; Heb ^ʔ*əḫən*, with suffix ^ʔ*əḫno*; Sab ^ʔ*bn*; Syr ^ʔ*abnā*
- +**qatl*; *^ʔ*ahl*; “tribe, tent”; Akk *ālum* “city”; Arab ^ʔ*ahl*, ^ʔ*āl* “family”; Heb ^ʔ*ohel* “tent”; ⁵¹ Sab ^ʔ*hl*; Syr *yahlā* “(a tribe of Arabs)”; Ug *ahl* “tent”
- +**qatl-ān*; *^ʔ*all-ān*; Akk *allānum*; Heb ^ʔ*allon* “oak,” ^ʔ*allā* “oak”; Ug ^ʔ*allānu*
- +**qatl*; *^ʔ*alp*; “ox, thousand”; Akk *alpum* “ox”; Arab ^ʔ*alf*; Gəʿəz ^ʔ*əlf* “thousand”; Heb ^ʔ*əlep*, const. pl. ^ʔ*alpe* “ox, thousand, clan”; Meh ^ʔ*āf* “thousand”; Sab ^ʔ*lf* “thousand”; Syr ^ʔ*alpā/ālep* “thousand”; Ug *alp* “ox, thousand”

⁴⁵ See Huehnergard 1987c: 302, n. 25.

⁴⁶ See Blau 1972: 62-65.

⁴⁷ Thus van Soldt, 1990: 732; Huehnergard (1987c: 110) reads ^ʔ*ištu*.

⁴⁸ This and other nouns listed here as **q(i)l* may in fact be better designated **ql*, a word-initial consonant cluster with a consonantal or semi-vocalic second element (Testen 1985).

⁴⁹ For this transliteration of *šnáyim* and *štáyim*, see Hoberman 1989.

⁵⁰ Akkadian shows *u*, which may be the product of the labial *m*. The forms from languages other than Akkadian could have proto-**i* or **u*. Because there is no definite **qil* form, the reconstruction is left here as **qul*. As the only **qul* form, this word is exceptional. Yet, as mentioned above (p. 12), **u* is generally the rarest of the vowels among the isolated nouns.

⁵¹ This may represent *^ʔ*ahl*, shifting to *^ʔ*āl* before the Canaanite Shift, then developing to [ʔol], which is pointed by the Massoretes with consonantal *h* (Huehnergard 1995: 12). Compare also *móhar* (**mahr*) and *šoḥar* (**ḥahr*) below.

- +*qatl(-at); *²amm(-at); “cubit”; Akk *ammatum*; Gǝʿəz ʾəmmat; Heb ʾammā; Sab ʾmt; Syr ʾammā/ammā; Ug *amt*
- +*qatl; *²anp; “face, nose”; Akk *appum*; Arab ʾanf; Gǝʿəz ʾanf; Heb ʾap, with suffix ʾappi; Syr ʾappā; Ug ʾappu
- +*qatl; *²arš; “earth”; Akk *eršetum*; Arab ʾard; Heb ʾéres, with suffix ʾarši; Sab ʾrd; Syr ʾarʾā/ara; Ug ʾaršu
- +*qatl; *²ary; “wild animal”; Akk *arium* “buck”; Arab ʾurwīyat “mountain goat”; Heb ʾarye, ʾa^ari “lion”; Gǝʿəz ʾarwe “wild beast”; Sab ʾrwy-n “mountain goat”; Syr ʾaryā “lion”
- +*qatl; *²arz; “cedar”; Arab ʾarz; Gǝʿəz ʾarz; Heb ʾérez, const. pl. ʾarze; Syr ʾarzā; Ug ʾarzu
- +*qatl; *²ayn; ⁵² “nothing”; Akk *yaʾnu*, *yānu* (metathesis); Arab ʾayna interrogative; Gǝʿəz ʾəmbi “refuse”; Heb ʾayin; Ug *in*
- +*qatl; *^camm; “clan, army, paternal kinsman”; Akk *ummānum*; ⁵³ Arab ʿamm “paternal uncle”; Heb ʿam, ʿām; Sab ʿm “uncle, male agnate”; Selti *umi* “maternal uncle”; Syr ʿammā; Ug ʿm
- +*qatl; *^carš; “bed, couch”; Akk *eršum*; Arab ʿarš “throne”; Heb ʿéres, with suffix ʿarši; Ug ʿrš
- +*qatl(-); *^cašt-ay/ān; “one”; Akk *ištēn(um)*, ⁵⁴ *ištiānum*, fem. *ištīat*, *ištēt*; Heb ʿašte (only as part of “eleven”); Ug ʿšt (only as part of “eleven”)
- +*qatl; *^caθm; “bone”; Akk *esentum*; Arab ʿazm; Gǝʿəz ʿadm; Heb ʿésem; Meh ʾāzayz; Syr ʿatmā “thigh”; Ug ʿzm
- +*qatl; *^cayn; “eye, source”; Akk *īnum*, Assyrian *ēnum*; Arab ʿayn; Gǝʿəz ʿayn; Heb ʿáyin; Meh ʾāyn; Sab ʿyn; Syr ʿaynā; Ug ʿēnu
- +*qatl; *^bal; “lord, husband”; Akk *bēlum*; Arab *bāʿl*; Gǝʿəz *bāʿl*; Heb *bāʿal*, with suffix *bāʿli*; Meh *bāl*, Jibbālī *bāʿal*; Sab *bʿl*; Syr *bāʿlā/bʿel*; Ug *bāʿlu*
- +*qatl; *^baq; “gnat”; Akk *baqqum*, *baqbaqqu*; Arab *baqq* “bedbug”; Galilean Aram *baqqā*; Syr *bāqā*.
- +*qatl; *^barr; “grain”; Akk Mari *burrum* (loanword?); Arab *burr* “wheat”; Heb *bar*, *bār*; Sab *br*; Meh *bər*
- +*qatl(-at); *^bayš(-at); “egg”; Arab *bayd*; Heb pl. *bešim*; Meh *bīdāyt*; Syr *bēʿtā*
- +*qatl; *^bayt; “house”; Akk *bītum*, Assyrian *bētum*; Arab *bayt* “tent”; Gǝʿəz *bet* Heb *báyit*; Meh *bayt*; Sab *byt*; Syr *baytā*; Ug *bt*
- +*qatl; *^daθ² (with metatheses); “grass, spring”; Akk *dīšum*, OAk *dašum*; Arab *θaʿd* “moistness, moist soil,” *daθaʿiyy* “rain after hot season”; Galilean Aram *difʿā*; Heb *déšē<>*; Jibbālī *dāʿē*; Sab *dθ²*; Syr *teḏ<>ā*
- +*qatl; *^gabb/ganb; “back, side”; Arab *ḡanb*; Gǝʿəz *gabbo*; Heb *gab*, with suffix *gabbi*; Syr *gabbā*
- +*qatl; *^gady; “kid”; Akk *gadū*; Arab *ḡady*; Heb *gdi*, pausal *gédi*; Syr *gadyā*; Ug *gdy*

⁵² See Faber 1991: 414.

⁵³ Rather than *^gmm, this may be related to Hebrew ʾummā, Arabic ʾummat, Syriac ʾummtā “tribe, people.”

⁵⁴ For the Akkadian shift #^cašt > #išt compare ʿaštar > Ištar “(name of a goddess)” (J. Huehnergard, personal communication, Spring 1996).

- +*qatl(-at); *gann(-at); "garden"; Arab *ġannat*; Gǝʿ *az gannat*; Heb *gan*, with suffix *ganni*, also *gannā*, const. *ginnat*; Sab *gny-n* "(garden) crop"; Syr *gannā*; Ug *gn*
- +*qatl; *gapn; "grape vine"; Akk *gapnum*, *gupnum*; Arab *ġafn*; Heb *ġépen*; Syr *gupnā*, *gpettā*; Ug *gpn*
- +*qatl; *gawz; "nuts, walnuts"; Arab *ġawz*; Gǝʿ *az gawz*; Heb ^g*goz*; Syr *gawz(t)ā*
- +*qatl; *habl; "rope, field"; Akk *eblum*; Arab *habl* "rope"; Gǝʿ *az habl*; Heb *hēbel*, with suffix *hablo*; Sab *hbl* "course of stones," *hblt* "terrace field"; Syr *hablā/hbel*; Ug pl. *habalūma*
- +*qatl; *har²; "excrement"; Amharic *ar*; Arab *har²*, *hur²*; Heb const. pl. *h^are*, pl. with suffix *har²ehem*, *h^arihem*; Syr *her²ā*
- +*qatl; *h/hayl; "force"; Arab *hawl*, *hayl* "horses, cavalry"; Gǝʿ *az hayl*; Heb *háyil*; Syr *haylā*
- +*qatl-at; *kall-at; "bride, daughter-in-law"; Akk *kallatum*; Arab *kannat* (irregular consonant correspondence); Heb *kallā*; Syr *kalltā*
- +*qatl; *ka²s⁵⁵; "cup"; Akk *kāsum*; Arab *kās*, *ka²s*; Heb *kos*; Syr *kāsā*; Ug *ks*
- +*qatl; *kabs²; "lamb, ram"; Arab *kabs²* "ram"; Heb *kēḇēs*, *kēsēḇ* (with metathesis); Meh *kabs²*; Syr *keḇšā* (irregular consonant correspondence)
- +*qatl; *kalb; "dog"; Akk *kalbum*; Arab *kalb*; Gǝʿ *az kalb*; Heb *kēleb*, const. pl. *kalbe*; Meh *kawb*; Syr *kalbā*; Ug *kalbu⁵⁶*
- +*qatl; *kapp (See also *kanap, p. 24);⁵⁷ "hand"; Akk *kappum*; Arab *kaffi*; Heb *kap*, pl. *kappot*; Meh *kaf*; Syr *kappā*
- +*qatl; *karm; "vineyard, vine"; Arab *karm*; Gǝʿ *az karm*; Heb *kérem*, with suffix *karmi*; Syr *karmā*; Ug *krm*
- +*qatl; *kasp; "silver"; Akk *kaspum*; Heb *késēp*, with suffix *kaspi*; Syr *kespā*; Ug *kaspu*
- +*qatl; *lahm; "food"; Arab *lahm* "meat"; Heb *léhem* "bread"; Soq *léhem* "large fish"; Syr *lahmā* "bread" Ug *lhm* "bread"
- +*qatl; *lahy; "cheek"; Akk *lētum*,⁵⁸ Nuzi, SB *lahú* "back side" (irregular consonant correspondence);⁵⁹ Heb *lhi*; Arab *lahy* "jowl, jaw"; Meh *lahyēt* "chin," *melhaw* "jaw"; Tigre *lähe* "jaw"
- +*qatl; *lawh; "tablet"; Akk *lēum*; Heb *luah*,⁶⁰ Syr *luhā*; Arab *lawḥ*; Gǝʿ *az lawḥ*; Ug *lh*
- +*qatl; *mahr; "brideprice"; Arab *mahr*; Heb *móhar*,⁶¹ Meh *mēhər*; Syr *mahrā*; Ug *mhr*

⁵⁵ Or *kās with no *, the Arabic *ka²s* being a hypercorrect form, in which case "cup" should go under *qatal.

⁵⁶ Van Soldt 1990: 732.

⁵⁷ *Kapp and *kanap are semantically similar, and the languages with the assimilation rule $nC_1 > C_1C_1$ allow the reconstruction of the two with the common root \sqrt{knp} . If this reconstruction is correct, then, *kanap and *kapp may be non-isolated. However, Arabic *kaff* and Mehri *kaf* do not show **n, as would be expected if *kapp came from **kanp.

⁵⁸ AHW (vol. 1: 546) relates this to Hebrew *lo^a*, Syriac *lo^aā* "jaw."

⁵⁹ Tropper (1995: 61-66) gives examples of Akkadian *ḥ* for West Semitic *h, thus relating Akkadian *lahú* to West Semitic *lahy.

⁶⁰ See Steiner 1987: 121.

- +*qatl; *malk; “king”; Akk *malkum*, Mari *mālikum* “prince”; Arab *malik* (probably an Aram loanword);⁶² Gǝʿəz ʾamlāk (pl. form) “God”; Heb *mélék*, with suffix *malki*; Sab *mlk*; Syr *malkā*; Ug *malku*
- +*qatl; *mar²; “son, lord, man”; Akk *mārum*, OA *mar²um*, *mer²um* “son”; Arab *mar*⁶³ “man”; Sab *mar²* “man, lord”; Syr *māryālmāre*⁶⁴ (**māri*) “the Lord”
- +*qatl; *matn; “hip”; Akk *matnu* “sinew”; Arab *matn* “back”; Heb *mōtēn*; Meh *mōtēn*; Syr pl. *matnātā*
- +*qatl; *na²d; “waterskin”; Akk *nādum*; Heb *no<>d*; Meh *hə-nīd*; Ug *nādu*⁶⁵
- +*qatl; *naḥl; “stream, wadi”; Akk *naḥlum*, *naḥallum*; Heb *nāḥal*, const. pl. *naḥle*; Syr *naḥlā*; Ug *naḥal(Iu)*
- +*qatl; *naps̄; “soul, breath, neck, self”; Akk *napištum*, OAk, Assyrian *napaštum*, later *napuštu*; Arab *nafs* “self,” *nafas* “soul, breath”; Gǝʿəz *nafs*; Heb *nēpēs*, with suffix *naps̄i*; Meh *hə-nōf*; Sab *nfs* “dispute, risk of life”; Syr *naps̄ā*; Ug *npš*
- +*qatl; *pa²m; “leg, foot”; Akk *pēmum*; Heb *pā^aam*, const. pl. *pā^ame*; Meh *fēm*, Jibbāli *fā^am*; Ug *pⁿ*
- +*qatl; *qamḥ; “flour”; Akk *qēmum*; Arab *qamḥ* “wheat”; Čaha *qamā*; Gǝʿəz *qamḥ* “produce”; Heb *qémah*; Syr *qamḥā*; Ug *qmḥ*
- +*qatl; *qarn (non-Semitic loanword?); “horn”; Akk *qarnum*; Arab *qarn*; Gǝʿəz *qarn*; Heb *qérēn*, with suffix *qarni*; Meh *ḵōn*; Syr *qarnā*; Ug *qrn*
- +*qatl; *qaww; “thread, line”; Akk *qū*;⁶⁶ Arab *quwwat*; Heb *qaw*; Soq *qā*; Syr *qwe*
- +*qatl; *ra²s̄; “head”; Akk *rēšum*; Arab *ra²s*; Gǝʿəz *rā²s*; Meh *ḥə-rōh*; Heb *ro<>s̄*, pl. *rā<>šim*; Sab *r²s¹*; Syr *rēšā*
- +*qatl; *raḥt; “watercourse”; Akk *rātum*; Heb *rāḥat*; Syr *raḥtā*
- +*qatl; *ramḥ; “lance”; Arab *rumḥ*; Gǝʿəz *ramḥ*; Heb *rōmah*; Meh *rəmḥat*; Sab *rmḥ*; Syr *rumḥā*; Ug *mrḥ* (with metathesis)
- +*qatl; *šalm; “image”; Akk *šalmum*; Arab *šanam* (irregular consonant correspondence, loanword?); Heb *šelem*, with suffix *šalmo*; Sab *šlm*, *šlm*; Syr *šalmāšlem*
- +*qatl; *šab^c; “seven”; Akk *sebūm*, absolute state *sebe* (irregular consonant correspondence);⁶⁷ Arab *sab^c*; Gǝʿəz *sab^c*; Heb *šēbā^c*, with suffix *šib^cā*; Meh *hōba*, *ḡəbāyt*; Sab *s¹b^c*; Syr *šab^cā/šba^c*
- +*qatl; *š/samm (some of these may be loanwords); “grass, incense, drug”; Akk *šammum*; Arab *samm*, *summ*; Heb pl. *sammim*; Meh *səm*; Syr *samm*
- +*qatl; *šamn; “fat, oil”; Akk *šammum*; Arab *samn* “clarified butter, ghee”; Heb *šémēn*, with suffix *šamni*; Syr *šumnā*
- +*qatl; *šawt; “whip”; Arab *sawt*; Gǝʿəz *sawt*; Heb *šot*; Syr *šawtā*

⁶¹ This may represent **mahr*. See n. 51 above.

⁶² J. Huehnergard, personal communication, Fall 1995.

⁶³ With the article, the form is *al-mar²*. When undetermined, the vowel of the noun varies with the case: (*i*)*mrū²*, (*i*)*mra²*, (*i*)*mrī²*.

⁶⁴ The emphatic state can be *māryā* (used only for God) or *mārā* (also used for humans rulers).

⁶⁵ Van Soldt 1990: 732.

⁶⁶ Sumerian *gu* is probably a loanword from the Semitic.

⁶⁷ A change *š > s* may be conditioned by the labial *b*. See Faber 1985: 106, n. 34.

- +*qatl; *šá'n; "sheep" (collective); Akk šēnum; Arab ḏā'n; Heb šo<>n; Sab ḏ'n; Syr ʿānā; Ug sin
- +*qatl; *šamr; "wool"; Gəʿəz ḏamr; Heb šēmer, with suffix šamri; Syr ʿamrāf mar; Ug sml⁶⁸ (irregular consonant correspondence)
- +*qatl; *tayš; "male goat"; Akk d/taššu (SB, LB, MA, NA); Arab tays; Heb táyis; Syr tayšā; Tigre tāstay "young bull accustomed to yoke"
- +*qatl; *tā'm; "judgement, taste"; Akk tēmum; Arab tā'm; Gəʿəz tā'm; Heb tā'am, with suffix tā'mo; Syr tā'mālf em
- +*qatl; *tall; "dew"; Arab tall; Gəʿəz tall; Heb tal, with suffix tallām; Syr tallā/ʿtal
- +*qatl; *θayr; "gate"; Arab θayr "gap, front teeth, frontier way of access"; Heb šā'ar, const. pl. šā'are; Syr tar'ā/tra' (with metathesis); Ug pl. θayarūma
- +*qatl; *θalg; "snow"; Akk šalḡum; Arab θalḡ; Heb šēlḡ; Meh falḡ (irregular consonant correspondence⁶⁹); Syr talḡā
- +*qatl; *θawr; "bull"; Akk šūrum; Arab θawr; Gəʿəz sor; Heb šor, with suffix šoro, pl. šwārim; Meh tawr; Sab θwr; Syr tawrā; Ug θr
- +*qatl; *θaby; "gazelle"; Akk šabītum; Arab zaby "oryx"; Heb šbi; Sab šby; Syr tabyā; Ug θby
- +*qatl; *θahr; "top, noon"; Akk šērum "back"; Arab zahr "top," zuhr "noon"; Heb šóhar⁷⁰ "roof," dual form šah'ráyim "noon"; Meh ḏahr "noon," ḏar "on"; Sab b-zhr "(on the) back (of)"; Ug θr
- +*qatl; *wayn; "wine" (non-Semitic loanword?); Arab wayn; Gəʿəz wayn; Heb yáyin; Sab wyn, yyn "vineyard"; Ug yn
- +*qatl; *yawm; "day"; Akk ūmum; Arab yawm; Gəʿəz yom "today"; Heb yom, pl. yāmim (*qal-ṽma); Meh ḥə-yám; Sab ym, ywm; Syr yawmā, ʿimámā; Ug yōmu
- +*qatl; *zayt; "oil, olive"; Arab zayt "oil," zaytūn "olives"; Gəʿəz zayt; Heb záyit; Meh zayt "oil," zaytūn "olives" (loanword?); Syr zaytā; Ug zt
- °*qatl/qitl; *ʾašk/išk; "testicle"; Akk iškum; Arab ʾiskat "labia"; Gəʿəz ʾaskit; Heb ʾēšk; Syr ʾēškā
- °*qatl-at/qitl-at; *ʾanθ-at/*ʾinθ-at; "woman"; Akk aššatum "wife"; Akk iššum "woman"; Arab ʾunθā "female"; Gəʿəz ʾanast "woman, women" (*qatīl-t); Heb ʾiššā; Sab ʾnθ, ʾθt "woman"; Syr ʾa<n>ttā [ʾattā] "woman"; Ug aθt "woman"
- °*qatl/qitl; *ʾaθl/iθl; "tamarisk"; Akk ašlum; Arab ʾaθl; Heb ʾéšel; Sab ʾθl
- °*qatl/qitl; *ʾanz/inz; "she-goat"; Akk enzum; Arab ʾanz; Čaha anz, ānz; Heb ʾez, pl. ʾizzim; Sab ʾnz "goats" (collective); Syr ʾezzā
- °*qatl(a)l; *ʾas(a)r; "ten"; Akk ešerum; Arab ʾašr, fem. ʾašarat, but ʾašara, fem. ʾašrata in "eleven" through "nineteen"; Gəʿəz ʾašr, ʾašartu; Heb ʾéšer, masc. ʾašarā, as component of "ten" through "nineteen" ʾašār; Meh ʾōšar; Sab ʾsʿr; Syr ʾesrā; Ug ʾšr

⁶⁸ Dietrich and Loretz 1966: 132.

⁶⁹ The consonant correspondence $\theta > f$ is also known from some neighboring dialects of Arabic (W. Heinrichs, personal communication, Spring 1996).

⁷⁰ This may represent *θahr. See n. 51 above.

- °*qatl/quttāl; *baql/buqqāl; “groats, sprouts, malt”; Akk *buqlum*, *baqlu*, *baqiltu*; Arab *baql* “vegetables”; Gǎʿ *əz baq*⁷¹; Sab *bql* “plants”; Syr *buqqāla*; Ug *bql*
- °*qat(a)l; *b/pa(ʔa)n/m; “snake”; Akk *bašmum*; Arab *baṭan*; Heb *péʔen*; Syr *pattānā*; Ug *bṭn* (irregular consonant correspondence)
- °*qatl/qitl/qutl; *haθθ/hiθθ/huθθ/haṭy; “arrow”; Akk *ušsum*; Arab *ḥuzwat*, *ḥazwat*, *ḥizwat* “arrow,” *ḥazz* “portion, luck”; Gǎʿ *əz ḥašš*; Heb *ḥeš*, with suffix *ḥišši*; Aram *ḥetyā*; Ug *ḥṭ*
- °*qatl-at/qitl-at/qutl-at; *kaly-at/kily-at/kuly-at; “kidney”; Akk *kalītum*; Arab *kulyat*; Gǎʿ *əz k*⁷² *alit*; Heb *kilyā*; Soqotri *kéloih* “intestines”; Syr *kulyā*; Ug pl. *klyt*
- °*qatl/qitl; *našr/nišr;⁷¹ “vulture”; Akk *našrum* (loanword); Arab *našr*, *nisr*; Gǎʿ *əz našr*; Heb *nešer*, const. pl. *nišre*; Syr *nešrā*; Ug *nšr*
- °*qat(a)l; *par(a)ʔ; “onager”; Akk *parū* “mule”; Arab *fara*⁷³ (loanword?); Heb *péʔe*⁷⁴
- °*qat(a)l; *qaw(a)l; “voice”; Akk *qūlu* “silence,” relation to meaning in other languages unclear; Arab *qawl*; Gǎʿ *əz qāl*; Heb *qol*; Syr *qālā*; Ug *ql*
- °*qat(i)l; *raḥ(i)m; “womb”; Akk *rēmum*; Arab *raḥim*; Heb *reḥem*, *raḥam*, with suffix *rahmāh*; Meh *rahm* (loanword?); Syr *rahmā*
- °*qatl/qitl; *sapl/sipl; “vessel”; Akk *saplu*; Arab *sift*; Heb *sépeš*; Ug *saplu*
- °*qatl/qitl; *šams/sims;⁷² “sun”; Akk *šamšum*; Arab *šams*; Heb *šemes*, with suffix *šimšāh*, pausal *šāmeš*, Hexaplaric *šamš*; Sab *s²ms¹*; Syr *šemsā*; Ug *šapsu*
- °*qatl/qutl; *šary/šury; “balsam” (vox peregrinata?); Arab *darw*, *dirw*; Heb *š⁴ri*; Sab *drw*; Syr *šarwā*; Ug *šurwu* (irregular consonant correspondences)⁷³
- °*qat(i)l; *war(i)ḥ; “moon, month”; Akk *warḥum*; Heb *yeraḥ*, const. pl. *yarḥe* “month,” *yareah*, with suffix *yreḥek* “moon”; Gǎʿ *əz warḥ*; Meh *warx*; Sab *warḥ*; Syr *yarḥā*; Ug *yrḥ*
- *qatl; *ḥalq; “neck, ring”; Akk *liq pi*, *lāq pī* “gum” (with metathesis, in idiomatic construction); Arab *ḥalq*; Gǎʿ *əz ḥalq*; Heb dual with suffix *malqoḥāy* “jaws” (with metathesis);⁷⁴ Meh *ḥawkāṭ*, Jibbālī *ḥalkét*; Ug *ḥlq-m*
- *qatl; *gaww; “interior, chest, back”; Arab *ḡaww* “interior”; Heb with suffix *gawwām* “back,” *gewā* “back,” const. *gew* “midst,” *gwiwā* “body”; Jibbālī *gēḥe*⁷⁵; Syr *gawwā* “interior, chest”
- *qatl; *parr; “bull”; Arab *farīr/farūr* “young sheep”; Heb *par*, with article *happār*, pl. *pārim*; Meh *fōr*; Ug *pr*
- *qatl; *talm; “furrow”; Gǎʿ *əz talm*; Heb *télem*, const. pl. *talme*; Targ Aram *tālāmā*; Ug *tlm*
- +*qitl; *imm;⁷⁵ “mother”; Akk *ummum*; Arab *ʔumm*; Gǎʿ *əz ʔamm*; Heb *ʔem*, with suffix *ʔimmi*; Meh *ḥ-ām*; Sab *ʔm*; Syr *emma*; Ug *um*

⁷¹ Several of the *qatl/*qitl variants occur with II-š roots, suggesting an early palatalization of *a* > *i* before syllable-final *š* (J. Huehnergard, personal communication, Spring 1996).

⁷² See Faber 1984: 215-19.

⁷³ See Steiner 1977: 151.

⁷⁴ CDG: 230. The Ugaritic *maqqaḥu* “(pair of) tongs” (Huehnergard 1987c: 143), which shares the *m*-preformative with this Hebrew word, may indicate that *malqoḥāy* comes from *√lqh* “take, receive.”

- +**qitl*; **igl*; “calf”; Akk *agalum* “donkey”; Arab *ʿigl*; Gəʿaz *ʾagʷl* (irregular consonant correspondences) “young (of animal)”; Heb *ʿegēl*; Syr *ʿeglā*; Ug *ʿgl*
- +**qitl*; **bir*; “well”; Akk *bērum*, *būrum*; Arab *bīr*; Harari *buʿur*, *bur* “deep”; Heb *bʿer*,⁷⁶ *bor*; Meh *bayr*; Syr *berā*; Sab *bʿr*; Ug *bir*
- +**qitl*; **birk*;⁷⁷ “knee”; Akk *birkum*; Arab *rukbat* (with metathesis); Gəʿaz *bark*; Heb *bérēk*; Meh *bark*; Syr *burkā/brok*; Ug *birku*
- +**qitl-at*; **dimʿ-at*; “tear”; Akk *dintum*; Arab *damʿ* (collective); Heb *dimʿā*; Syr *demʿtā*; Ug *udmʿt*
- +**qitl*; **ḏib*; “wolf, jackal”; Akk *zību*, *zibū* “vulture, jackal”; Arab *ḏib*; Gəʿaz *zəʿb*; Heb *zʿeb*;⁷⁸ Syr *debā*
- +**qitl*; **gild*; “skin”; Arab *gild*; Heb with suffix *gildi*; Meh *gēd*; Syr *geldā*
- +**qitl*; **giyd*; “sinew, neck”; Akk *gīdu* “sinew”; Arab *gīd* “neck”; Heb *gid*; Soqotri *zīd*; Syr *gyāḏā*; Targumic Aram *gidā*
- +**qitl-at*; **hint-at*; “wheat”; Akk *ḥutetum*; Arab *ḥintat*; Heb *ḥittā*; Meh *ḥəṭāt*; Syr *ḥettā*; Ug *htt*
- +**qitl-at*; **ḥimʿ-at*; “butter, curds”; Akk *ḥimētum*; Heb *ḥemʿā*; Sab *ḥmʿt*; Soq *ḥāmi* “butter”; Ug *ḥmat*
- +**qitl*; **hišn*; “bosom”; Arab *hiḏn*; Gəʿaz *ḥən*; Heb *ḥošēn*; Syr *ḥannā*, Galilean Aram *hinnā* (with assimilation of **ʿ* to **n*)
- +**qitl*; **kilʿ*;⁷⁹ “two”; Akk *kilallān*; Arab *kilā*; Gəʿaz *kəʿe*; Heb *kilʿayim* “two kinds”; Sab *kly*; Ug *klat*
- +**qitl*; **libb*; “heart”; Akk *libbum*; Arab *lubb*; Gəʿaz *ləbb*; Heb *leb*, with suffix *libbi*, also *lebāb* (**qital*);⁸⁰ Meh *ḥə-wbēb*; Sab *lb*; Syr *lebbā*; Ug *lb*
- +**qitl*; **milh*; “salt”; Arab *milh*; Gəʿaz *malh*; Heb *mēlah*; Syr *melhā*; Ug *mlht*
- +**qitl*; **qinn*; “nest”; Akk *qinnum* “nest, family”; Heb *qen*, with suffix *qinno*; Syr *qennā*
- +**qitl*; **riʿm*; “wild-ox”; Akk *rīmum*; Arab *rīm* “gazelle”; Heb *rʿem*; Syr *ramā*, *remā*; Ug *rum*
- +**qitl*; **riḡl*; “foot”; Arab *riḡl*; Syro-Palestinian Arab *ʾəḏr*; Gəʿaz *ʾəgr*;⁸¹ Heb *réḡel*, with suffix *ragli*, Hexaplaric *riḡl*,⁸² Babylonian Hebrew *riḡl*; Sab *rgl*; Syr *reglā*, Mandaic *ligrā*; Ug *riḡlu*
- +**qitl*; **šidθ*,⁸³ “six”; Akk *šeššum*, OA attributive masc. *šedištum*; Arab *sitt*; Gəʿaz *səssu*, masc. *sədəstu*; Heb *šeš*, masc. *šiššā*; Meh *ḥət*, *yəṭīt*; Sab *s^ldθ* (earlier period), *s^lθ* (middle and later periods); Syr *settā*; Ug *θθ*

⁷⁵ See **ummatl-ān* below (p. 22), which may render this non-isolated.

⁷⁶ This may represent **bīr*, developing to [ber], written <*bʿr*>, which is reported by the Massoretes with consonantal ʾ (Huehnergard 1995: 13). See also *zʿeb* (**ḏib*), *ʿenā* (**tiʿn-at*), and *šʿer* (**θʿr*), below and p. 22.

⁷⁷ Most of the languages have a D or L verb of this root meaning “to bless,” but this verb is probably denominative, allowing us to retain **birk* as an isolated noun.

⁷⁸ See n. 76 above.

⁷⁹ By the semantic nature of this word, it is attested in the dual, or in a frozen reflex of the dual.

⁸⁰ Perhaps formed by analogy on the plural base with **a*-infix.

⁸¹ See Kaye 1991 on the relation between Ethiopic *ʾəgr* and Syro-Palestinian *ʾəḏr*.

⁸² See Kaye 1991: 847-48; Huehnergard 1987c: 72, 176.

- +**qitl*; **šinn*; “tooth”; Akk *šinnum*; Arab *sinn*; Heb *šen*, dual *šinnáyim*; Ug *šnn*; Sab *θn* “front teeth” (collective); Syr *šennā*
- +**qitl(-at)*; **tīn(-at)*; “fig”; Akk *tittum*; Arab *tīn(-at)*; Heb *ī enā*;⁸⁴ Syr *te<n>ttā*;
Ug *tī natu*
- +**qitl*; **tibn*; “straw”; Akk *tibnum*; Arab *tibn*; Heb *tēben*; Syr *tebnā*; Ug *tibnu*
- +**qitl*; **till* “mound, hill”; Akk *tīlum*, *tillu*; Arab *tall*; Heb *tel*, with suffix *tillām*;
Syr *tellā*
- +**qitl*; **tiš*; “nine”; Akk *tīšum*; Arab *tiš*; Gā *az tās*; Heb *tēša*; Meh *sā*; Sab *ts^l*;
Syr *teš āšā*; Ug *tš*
- +**qitl*; **tiyn*; “mud, clay”; Akk *tīdum*, *tītu*, *tiddu*, *tīttu* (**tiyntum*); Arab *tīn*; Heb *tīt*
(Akkadian loanword?);⁸⁵ Meh *tayn*; Syr *tīnā*
- +**qitl*; **θīr*; “flesh”; Akk *šīrum*; Arab *θā^r* “blood-revenge”; Heb *s^oer*, Sab *θr*; Ug
θī ru, *šīr*⁸⁶
- +**qitl*; **θipr*; “fingernail, claw”; Akk *šuprum*; Arab *zīfr*, *zūfr*; Gā *az šāfr*; Heb
sippóren; Meh *dfēr*; Syr *teprā*
- +**qitl*; **zipt*; “pitch”; Arab *zift*; Gā *az zāft*; Heb *zēpet*
- +**qitl*; **ziyō*; “breast”; Akk *zīzum*; Heb *ziz*; Ug *zd*
- +**qutl*; **ʔudn*; “ear”; Akk *uznum* “ear, authority”; Arab *ʔudn*; Gā *az ʔəzn*; Heb *ʔózen*;
Meh *h-əydēn*; Sab *ʔn* “permission, authority”; Syr *ʔednā*,⁸⁷ Galilean Aram
ʔudnā; Ug *udn*
- +**qutl-at(-ān)*; **umm-at(-ān)*,⁸⁸ “tribe, nation”; Akk *ummānum*,⁸⁹ Arab *ʔummat*;
Heb *ʔummā*; Syr *ʔummtā*; Ug *ʔummatu*
- +**qutl*; *ʔurh*; “way”; Akk *urhum*; Heb *ʔórah*; Syr *ʔurhā*
- +**qutl*; **ʔury*; “manger”; Akk *urūm*, *urrū* MA *urā^u*; Arab *ʔiry*, *ʔāriyy*; Heb *ʔuryā*,
ʔurwā; Syr *ʔuryā*
- +**qutl*; **butm/n*; “pistachio”; Akk *butnu*; Arab *butm*; Heb *bóten*; Syr *beṭmtā*
- +**qutl*; **gubb*; “pit”; Akk NA, NB *gubbu* (loanword?); Arab *gubb*; Gā *az gabb*
(with no labialization, possibly **qitl*); Heb *gob*; Syr *gubbā*
- +**qutl*; **gurn*; “granary, threshing floor”; Arab *ǧurn*, *ǧirn*; Gā *az g^warn*, *gurn*; Heb
góren; Sab *grn*; Ug *grn*
- +**qutl*; **hupn*; “hollow of hand”; Akk *upnum*; Arab *ḥafnat*, *ḥufnat*; Gā *az ḥāfn*; Heb
dual *hopnáyim*; Syr *hupnā*; Ug *hpn*
- +**qutl*; **kul*; “all”; Akk *kullatum*, OÁk, OA const. *kalu*,⁹⁰ Arab *kull*; Gā *az k^wall*;
Heb *kol*, with suffix *kullo*; Meh *kal*; Sab *kl*; Syr *kullā*; Ug *kl*
- +**qutl*; **muḥḥ*; “brain, top”; Akk *muḥḥum*; Arab *muḥḥ*; Heb *mōaḥ*; Meh *mēma*
(**mā^cmā^c*, irregular consonant correspondence); Syr *muḥḥā*; Ug *mḥ*

⁸³ See Faber 1984: 215-19.

⁸⁴ See n. 76 above.

⁸⁵ J. Huehnergard, personal communication, Spring 1996.

⁸⁶ See n. 76 above. The Ugarit evidence suggests two words.

⁸⁷ Syriac shifts *u* > **i* in some cases, such as *ʔednā*, *šeršā*, *beṭmtā*, and *debbā* (J. Huehnergard, personal communication, Spring 1996).

⁸⁸ See **imm* “mother” above (p. 21) which may render this non-isolated.

⁸⁹ May be related to **√mm*. (See **amm* above, p. 16.)

⁹⁰ Von Soden (AHw, vol. 1: 427; GAG: 51, 83) gives a III-weak base, but a biradical base for the Akkadian word is more probable. (See Huehnergard 1987a: 190, n. 51; Gelb 1955: 105.)

- +**qutl*; *niwn*; “fish”; Akk *nūnum*; Syr *nunā*
- +**qutl*; **šurs*;⁹¹ “root”; Akk *šuršum*; Arab *širs* “thorn-bush,” *sirr* “marrow, origin”; Gəʿ *az šərw* “sinew, root, origin, tribe,” *šarwe* “beam of wood”; Heb *šorēš*; Syr *šeršā*; Ug *šrš*
- +**qutl*; **θuwm*; “garlic”; Akk *šūmum*; Arab *θūm*; Gəʿ *az sum*; Meh *ṭəmēt*, Jibbāli *tum*; Heb pl. *šumim*; Syr *tumā*
- °**qutl/qatl*; **dubb/daby*; “bear”; Akk *dabū*, OAk *dabium*; Arab *dubb*; Gəʿ *az dabb*; Heb *dob*; Syr *debbā*,⁹² Targ Aram *dubbā*
- **qutl*; **ḏubb*; “fly”;⁹³ Akk *zubbum*; Amharic *zəmb*; Arab *ḏubāb*⁹⁴; Heb *zḥub*; Syr *dabbābā*, *debbābā*⁹⁵
- **qutl*; **ḥurl*; “chickpea”; Akk *ḥallūrum*, *ḥilullūru*, *ḥallāru*; Heb *ḥāruḥ*, plural *ḥāruḥim*; Syr *ḥurlā*
- **qut(u)l*; **qut(u)r*;⁹⁶ “smoke”; Akk *qutrum*; Arab *qutr*; Gəʿ *az qətār*; Heb *qṭōrēṭ* “incense”; Sab *mqtr* “incense altar”; Ug *qtr*
- +**qatal*; **ʾahad*;⁹⁷ “one”; Akk *wēdum*; Arab *ʾahad*, *wāḥid*; Gəʿ *az ʾaḥadu*; Heb *ʾehād* (**qattal*); Sab *ʾhd*; Syr *had*; Ug *ʾaḥadu*
- +**qatal*; **ʾaṯar*; “place, footstep”; Akk *ašrum*, *ašarum*; Arab *ʾaṯar*; Gəʿ *az ʾašar* (irregular consonant correspondence);⁹⁸ Heb *ʾašer* (relative pronoun); Syr *ʾatrā*, *ʾatar*
- +**qatal*; **apar*; “dust”; Akk *eprum*, *eperum*; Amharic *afār*; Arab *ʿafar*; Heb *ʿāpār*, const. *ʿapar*, with suffix *ʿapāro*; Syr *ʿaprā*; Ug *ʿpr*
- +**qatal*; **barad*; “hail”; Arab *barad*; Gəʿ *az barad*; Heb *bārād*; Meh *bərēd*; Sab *brd*; Syr *barḡā*
- +**qatal*; **baṣal*; “onion(s)” (collective); Arab *baṣal*; Gəʿ *az baṣal*; Heb *bāšāl*; Meh *baṣālēt*, Jibbāli *bešāl*; Sab *bsl*; Syr *bešlā*
- +**qatal*; **bašār*; “flesh”; Akk *bišrum*; Arab *bašar*; Gəʿ *az bašor* (loanword?); Harari *bāšār*; Heb *bāšār*, with suffix *bšāri*; Meh *bəšərēt* “skin”; Syr *besrā*; Sab *bsʿr*; Ug *bšr*
- +**qatal*; **bawab*; “door”; Akk *bābum*; Arab *bāb*; Meh *bōb*; Syr *bābā* (The West Semitic nouns may be loanwords from Akkadian.)
- +**qatal*; **bawam-at*; “high place”; Akk *bāmtum*; Heb *bāmā*; Ug *bmt* “back (of an animal or person)”

⁹¹ This may come from a reduplicated root. The radicals of some of the words are not fully cognate, but Akkadian, Hebrew, Syriac, and Ugaritic all have the root **√srš*. See Faber 1984: 213-15; CDG: 535.

⁹² See n. 87 above.

⁹³ See Skinner 1977: 51-58.

⁹⁴ It is likely that the Arabic pattern is formed on semantic analogy to a group of names for animals, birds, and insects in the pattern *qatal*, and with the vowel melody *u – ā* in general.

⁹⁵ See n. 87 above.

⁹⁶ Assimilation or dissimilation of the emphatic feature of *C*₂ to that of *C*₁ has led to *t* and *ṭ* for *C*₂ in various languages.

⁹⁷ Beside **ʾahad*, there is a variant with initial **w*. In addition to the forms for “one” listed here for Akkadian and Arabic, there are Arabic *wāḥid*, Hebrew *yāḥid*, Syriac *ʾihidā* “only” and Ugaritic and Hebrew *yḥd* “together.”

⁹⁸ Voigt (1994: 105, 111) attributes the *s* to the influence of the *r*.

- +*qatal; **ḏahab*; “gold”; Arab *ḏahab*; Heb *zāhāb*, with suffix *zhābi*; Meh *ḏāhēb*; Sab *ḏhb*; Syr *dahbā*
- +*qatal; **ḏakar*; “male”; Akk *zīkrum*, *zīkarum*; Arab *ḏakar*; Heb *zākār*; Sab *ḏkr*; Syr *dekrā*
- +*qatal; **ḏanab*; “tail”; Akk *zibbatum*; Arab *ḏanab*; Gǎʿ *ʾaz zanab*; Heb *zānāb*, with suffix *znābo*; Meh *dānōb*; Syr *dunbā*; Ug *ḏnb*
- +*qatal; **ḏaqan*; “beard”; Akk *ziqnum*; Arab *ḏaqan*; Heb *zāqān*, const. *zqan*, with suffix *zqāno*; Syr *dqan*, *daqnā*
- +*qatal; **gamal*; “camel”; Akk *gammalu* (loanword?); Arab *ḡamal*, *ḡaml*; Gǎʿ *ʾaz gamal*; Heb *gāmāl*, pl. *gmallim*; Sab *gml*; Syr *gamlā*
- +*qatal; **ḡalab*; “milk”; Arab *ḡalab*, *ḡalīb*; Gǎʿ *ʾaz ḡalab* “sour milk,” *ḡalīb* “milk”; Heb *ḡālāb*; Meh *ḡalēb* “milking” (action noun); Syr *ḡalbā*; Ug *ḡlb*
- +*qatal; **ḡatan*; “son-in-law, bridegroom”; Akk *ḡatnum*, *ḡatanum*;⁹⁹ Arab *ḡatan*; Heb *ḡātān*, with suffix *ḡātāno*; Syr *ḡatnā*
- +*qatal; **kanap* (See also **kapp*, p. 17); “wing”; Akk *kappum*; Arab *kanaf*; Gǎʿ *ʾaz kanf*; Heb *kānāp*, const. *knap*, with suffix *knāpo*; Sab *knf* “border, side”; Syr *kenpā*; Ug *kanapu*
- +*qatal; **maṭar*; “rain”; Akk *mitrum* “watercourse”; Arab *maar*; Heb *mātār*, const. *mṭar*, const. pl. *mitrot*; Sab *mṭr* “(rain-watered) field”; Syr *metrā*; Ug *mṭr*
- +*qatal; **namal*, nom. un. *namal-at*; “ant(s)”; Akk SB *lamattu* (with metathesis, loanword?); Arab *naml*; Heb *nmālā*, Amarna Canaanite *namlu*; Meh *nōmēl*; Syr *nmālā*
- *qatal; **naway*; “steppe”; Akk *nawū*; Heb *nawē*; Sab *nw* “environs”
- +*qatal; **paraš*; “horse”; Arab *faras*; Gǎʿ *ʾaz faras*; Heb *pārāš*; Sab *frs*¹
- +*qatal; **qanay*; “reed”; Akk *qanū*; Arab *qanā*, *qanāt* “spear”; Gǎʿ *ʾaz qanot* “goad”; Heb *qānē*; Meh *kānēr*; Syr *qanyā*; Ug *qn*
- +*qatal; **sanay*; “thornbush”; Akk *sinū*; Arab *sanā*; Heb *sne*; Syr *sanyā*
- +*qatal; **šadaw*; “field, mountain”; Akk *šadūm*, OAk *šadwum*; Heb *šāde*, *šāday*; Sab *s²dw* “mountain, irrigated field”; Ug *šadū*
- +*qatal; **šamaš*; “sky”; Akk *šamū*, OAk *šamāum*; Arab *samā*; Gǎʿ *ʾaz samāy*; Heb *šāmāyim*; Meh *hāytəm*; Sab *s¹my-n*; Syr *šmayyā*; Ug *šamūma*
- +*qatal; *šawaq*; “leg”; Arab *sāq* “lower leg”; Heb *šoq* “leg”; Syr *šāqā* “leg”; Tigre *səqoqā* “bone”
- +*qatal; **tawaš*; “chamber”; Akk *tāum*; Heb *tāš* (loanword?); Syr *ʾawwānā* (irregular consonant correspondence), Targ Aram *tawā*
- +*qatal; **talay*; “kid, goat”; Arab *talā*; Gǎʿ *ʾaz tali*; Heb *tālē*; Sab *tlym*; Syr *talyā*
- +*qatal; **ṭaday*; “breast”; Arab *ṭady*, *ṭadā*, *ṭīdy*; Heb dual *šāḏāyim*, rare *šoḏ*; Meh *tōdī*; Syr *tḏā*; Ug *ṭd*
- ⁰*qatal/qatl; **baraq/barq*; “lightning”; Akk MB, SB, NA *berqu*, *birqu*; Arab *barq*; Heb *bārāq*; Meh *bōrək*; Gǎʿ *ʾaz mabraq*, *mabrəq*; Sab *brq* “rainy season, monsoonal storm”; Syr *barqā*
- ⁰*qatal/qatl; **lašad/lašd*; “cream”; Akk SB *lildu*; Gǎʿ *ʾaz lasd*; Heb *lašād*
- ⁰*qatal/qatl; **nahar/nahr*; “river”; Akk *nārum*; Arab *nahr*, *nahar*; Heb *nāhār*; Sab *nhr*; Syr *nahrā*; Ug *nhr*

⁹⁹ See Goetze 1947: 247.

- ⁰**qatal/qatīl*; **tamar/tamr*; “palm-tree”; Arab *tamr* “dates”; Gǎʿ *ʾaz tamr, tamart*; Heb *tāmār*; Meh *tōmər*; Sab *tmr*; Syr *tmartā*
- +**qattal*; **ayyal*; “ibex, mountain goat”; Akk *ayyalum*; Arab *ʾyyal*; Gǎʿ *ʾaz hayyal*; Heb *ʾayyāl, ʾáyil*; Sab *ʾyl*; Syr *ʾay(y)lā*
- ⁰**qattall/qatīl*; **pahḥam/pahm*; “coal”; Akk *pēntum*; Arab *fahm*; Gǎʿ *ʾaz fəhm*, or perhaps *fəhḥəm* (the orthography is indeterminate); Heb *pəhām* (**pahḥam*); Syr *pahmā* or perhaps *pahḥmā* (the orthography is indeterminate); Ug *pḥm*
- +**qatāl*; **arān*; “chest (i.e., box)”; Akk *arānum*; Arab *ʾirān* (with dissimilation); Heb *ʾarōn* (reduced first vowel, therefore **qitāl* with dissimilation of **a* from **ā*), with article *hāʾ ʾarōn* (**qatāl*); Syr *ʾarōnā* (loanword?); Ug *arn*
- +**qatāl*; **atān*; “she-ass”; Akk *atānum*; Arab *ʾatān*; Heb *ʾāṭon*; Syr *ʾattānā*, Targ Aram *ʾattānā, ʾtānā*; Ug *atn*
- +**qatāl*; **ḥalālθ*,¹⁰⁰ “three”; Akk *šalāšum*; Arab *ḥalālθ*; Gǎʿ *ʾaz šalās*; Heb *šāloš*; Meh *šhālēl, šāṭáyṭ*; Sab *s²lθ* (earlier period), *lθ* (middle and later period); Syr *lātā*; Ug *lθ*
- +**qatāl*,¹⁰¹ **ālam*; “world”; Arab *ʿālam*; Gǎʿ *ʾaz ʿālam*; Heb *ʿolām*; Sab *ʿlm*; Syr *ʿālmā*; Ug *ʿlm*
- +**qatīl*; **aqīb*; “heel”; Akk *eqbum*; Arab *ʿaqīb*; Heb *ʿāqēb*, const. *ʿāqēb*, const. pl. *ʿiqḇe, ʿiqḇe, ʿiqḇo*; Syr *eqbā, ʿqēb*; Tigre *əqəb* “leg”
- +**qatīl*; **ḥaḥīr*; “court”; Arab *ḥaḥīrat* “pen, pound”; Gǎʿ *ʾaz ḥaḥr*; Heb pl. *ḥ^ašerim*, const. pl. *ḥaḥre*; Sab *mḥzr*; Ug *ḥḥr*
- +**qatīl*; **ḥamiš*; “five”; Akk *ḥamšum*, absolute *ḥamiš*; Arab *ḥams*; Gǎʿ *ʾaz ḥams*; Heb *ḥāmeš*, masc. *ḥamiššā*; Meh *xáyemeh, xəmmōh*; Sab *ḥms¹*; Syr *ḥammeš*; Ug *ḥmš*
- +**qatīl*; **kariš*; “belly”; Akk *karšum*, later *karašu*; Arab *kariš, kirs*; Gǎʿ *ʾaz karš*; Heb with suffix *krešo*; Meh *kērās*; Syr *karsā*
- +**qatīl*; **katip* “shoulder”; Akk *katpum*; Arab *katif, kitf, kataf*; Gǎʿ *ʾaz matkaft* (with metathesis); Heb *kāteḥ*, const. *kēteḥ*; Meh *katf*; Syr *katpā*; Tigre *māktāf*
- +**qatīl*; **lahīr* (with metatheses); “ewe”; Akk *lahrum*; Arab *raḥīl, riḥl*; Heb *rāḥel*; Syr *rahlā*
- +**qatīl*; **waʿil*; “antelope”; Arab *waʿil, waʿl*; Gǎʿ *ʾaz wāʿalā, waʿālā*; Heb pl. *yʿelim*, const. pl. *yaʿāl*; Sab *wʿl*; Meh *wēl*
- +**qatīl*; **warik*; “thigh, hip”; Akk *warkatum*; Amharic *wārč* “front leg of animal”; Arab *warik, wark, warak, wark*; Heb *yāreḥ*, const. *yérek*, with suffix *yreki*; Meh *warkēt*; Sab *wrk*; Targ Aram *yarkā*
- ⁰**qatīl/qitl*; **namir/nimr*; “leopard”; Akk *nimrum*; Arab *namir*; Gǎʿ *ʾaz namr*; Heb *nāmer*; Sab *nmr*; Syr *nemrā*
- +**qatīl*; **baʿīr*; “beasts”; Akk *bīru, bēru* “young bull,” also *būrum* “calf”; Arab *baʿīr* “camel stallion”; Gǎʿ *ʾaz bāʿr*; Heb with suffix *bʿiro*; Meh *bāʿayr*; Sab *bʿr*; Syr *bʿirā*

¹⁰⁰ See Faber 1984: 215-21.

¹⁰¹ The reconstruction of this noun is very difficult. See Jenni 1952: 199-221 for possible etymologies, and a comparative discussion of the the word in Northwest Semitic, Arabic, and Gǎʿ.

- *qatīl/qutl; *harp/hurp; “winter”; Akk *harpum*, *haruptum*; Arab *ḥarīf* “fall”; Heb *ḥōrep*; Gǝʿ *az ḥarīf* “current year”; Sab *ḥrf*
- *qatīl; *ḥaqīd; “almond”; Gǝʿ *az saǧ(ā)d*; Heb *šāqed*; Syr *šqadā* (irregular consonant correspondence, loanword?); Ug *ḥuqudu*
- +*qātil; *yārib; “raven”; Amharic *qura*; Akk *āribu*, *ēribum*; Arab *ḡurāb*¹⁰²; Heb *oreb*; Meh *yǧǧǧāyrb*; Syr *urḥā*
- +*qatul; *šabu (with metatheses); “hyena”; Akk *būsum*; Arab *ḍabu*; Gǝʿ *az šəb* “hyena”; Heb pl. *šḥoim*; Syr *apā*
- +*qatūl; *atūd; “wild sheep”; Akk *etūdum*, *atūdum*; Arab *atūd*; Heb pl. *attudim*
- +*qatūl-at; *batūl-at; “virgin, young woman”; Akk *batūlum* “young man,” *batulum* “young woman”; Arab *batūl*; Heb *ḥtūlā*; Syr *ḥtūltā*; Ug *ḥtlt*
- *qatūl/qutāl; *harūs/hurās; “gold”; Akk *ḥurāsūm*; Heb *ḥārus*¹⁰³; Ug *ḥurāšu*
- +*qattūl; *kammūn; “cumin”; Akk *kammūnum*; Arab *kammūn*; Heb *kammon* (loanword?); Gǝʿ *az kammin* (loanword?); Syr *kammunā*; Ug *kmm*
- +*qital; *inab; “fruit, grapes”; Akk *inbum*; Arab *inab*; Heb *enāḥ*; Sab *nb*; Syr *enḥāf enḥā*; Ug *ḡnb* (irregular consonant correspondence)
- +*qital; *šikar; “intoxicating drink”; Akk *šikarum*, *šikrum*; Arab *sakar*; Gǝʿ *az sākār*; Heb *šekār*
- +*qital; *šila; “rib”; Akk *šelum*, *šilum*; Arab *ḍilā*; Heb *šelā*; const. *šēla* (*qatl); Meh *zala*; Ug *šf*; Syr *efā*¹⁰⁴
- *qit(a)l; *dib(a)š; “date honey”; Akk *dišp* (with metathesis); Arab *dibs*; Gafat *dābsā*; Heb *dḥaš* (loanword?), with suffix *dibšī*; Meh *dabh*; Sab *dbs*¹; Syr *debšā*
- *qitallqatl; *šī ar/šā r;¹⁰⁵ “hair”; Akk *šārtum*; Arab *šār*; Gǝʿ *az šārt* “hair”; Heb *šēār*, const. *šā ar* and *š ar*, also *šā rā*; Syr *šārā*; Ug *šā artu* “wool”
- +*qittal; *immar; “sheep”; Akk *immerum*, Assyrian *emmerum*¹⁰⁶; Syr *emmrā*; Ug *imr*
- *qittal; *kinnam; “louse”; Heb pl./collective *kinnām*, *kinnim*; Soq *konem*
- +*qital; *ōirā; “arm”; Arab *ōirā*; Gǝʿ *az mazrā*; Heb *zroā*, *ezroā*; Syr *ḍrā ā*; Ug *ōr*
- +*qitāl; *ḥimār; “ass”; Akk *imērum*, Assyrian *emārum*; Arab *ḥimār*; Čaha *amor* (Arab loanword?); Heb *ḥāmor*; Meh *ḥayr*; Sab *ḥmr*; Syr *ḥmārā*; Ug *ḥmr*
- +*qitāl; *lišān; “tongue”; Akk *lišānum*; Arab *lisān*; Gǝʿ *az lāsān*; Heb *lašōn*; Meh *əwšēn*, Jibbali *lšīn*; Sab *ls n*; Syr *leššānā*; Ug *lašān*
- +*qitāl; *tihām; “sea”; Akk *tāmtum*, *tiāmat*; Arab *taham* “land sloping down to sea,” *tihāmat* “(geographical name for a coastal plain)”; Heb *thom*; Syr *thomā* (loanword?); Ug *tahāmatu*
- *qital-at/qatllqatīl; *šī ar-at/šā r/šā r; “barley”;¹⁰⁷ Arab *šār*; Heb *šōrā*; Gǝʿ *az šār* “grass,” *šārnāy* “wheat”; Sab *s r*; Syr *šārā*; Ug *šī frū*

¹⁰² It is likely that this pattern is formed on semantic analogy to a group of names for birds in *qutāl*.

¹⁰³ Greek χρυσός is probably a loanword from Phoenician.

¹⁰⁴ With dissimilation *šf* > *f* (thus Biblical Aramaic) > *f*.

¹⁰⁵ But see also **šī ar-at/šā r/šā r* “barley” (p. 27).

¹⁰⁶ According to the regular sound rules, this may also be reconstructed as **qittal*.

- **qutāl*; ³*unās*; “mankind”; Arab (²)*nās* “mankind,” ³*anas* “people”; Heb ³*noš* “man, mankind,” ³*nāšim* “people”; Meh ³*ans* “humans” (collective, loanword?); Sab ³*ns¹*, ³*s¹*; Syr <³>*nāšā* “man, mankind,” Biblical Aram ³*nāš*, ³*nos*¹⁰⁸. (Compare also the possibly related *³*iyš*; “man”; Heb ³*iš* “man,” ³*ēšet* “woman” (const.),¹⁰⁹ pre-suffixal form ³*išti* “woman”; Sab ³*ys¹*.)
- +**qutāl*; **burāθ*; “juniper”; Akk *burāšum*; Heb *broš*, also pl. *brošim* (irregular consonant correspondence, loanword?); Syr *brotā* (loanword from a dialect with **ā* > **ō* and **θ* > **ʔ*?)
- +**qutāl*; **kunāθ*; “emmer”; Akk *kunāšum*; Syr *kunnātā*
- +**quttāl*; **rummān* (*-*ān* may be a suffix); “pomegranate”; Akk *nurmū*, *nurmānu*, Nuzi *nurumu* (with metathesis), *lurmūm*, *lurīnu*, MA *lurimāu*, *lurimtum* (with dissimilation); Arab *rummān* (loanword); Gǎʿaz *rommān* (loanword); Heb *rimmon*; Syr *rummān*
- **qutāl*; **buhān* and other patterns, with metatheses; “thumb, finger”; Akk *ubānum* “finger”; Arab ³*ibhām* “thumbs”; Heb *bóhēn*, also pl. *bhonoʔ* (**qutul* [Kogut 1969-70] or **qutāl*) “thumb”
- **qutāl*(*t*); **nuhās*(*t*); “bronze”; Arab *nuḥās*; Heb *nhóšēt* (**nuhušt*), *nhušā* (**nuhūšat*); Gǎʿaz *nāhs*; Syr *nhāšā*
- **qutul/qitl/qatul*; **bukur/bikr/bakur*; “firstborn”; Akk *bukrum*; Arab *bikr*; Gǎʿaz *bak^vr*; Heb *bkor*, with suffix *bkori*, pl. *bkorot*; Meh *bēkər*; Sab *bkr*; Syr *bukrā*; Ug *bkr*
- **quttul/ūqtāl*; **šuppur/uspūr*; “bird”; Akk *iššūrum*, *šibārum*; Arab ³*uṣfūr*; Heb *šippor*; Syr *šepprā/seppar*; Ug ³*ussūru*, *spr*
- +**qatlād*; *³*alman-at*;¹¹⁰ “widow”; Akk *almattum*; Arab ³*armalat*; Heb ³*almānā*; Meh *hərmēt*; Syr ³*armaltā*; Ug *almnt*
- +**qatlād*; *³*ap³ay*; “viper”; Arab ³*af³ā*; Gǎʿaz ³*af³ot*; Heb ³*ep³ε*
- +**qatlād*; *³*arba³*;¹¹¹ “four”; Akk *erbūm*, OAk *arba³um*; Arab ³*arba³*; Gǎʿaz ³*arba³*; Heb ³*arba³*; Meh *ārba*, *ərbōt*; Sab ³*rb³*; Syr ³*arba³*; Ug *arb³*
- +**qatlād*; *³*arbay*; “locusts”; Akk *erbūm*; Heb ³*arbe*; Meh *harbyēt*; Ug *irby*
- +**qatlād*; *³*arnab*; “hare”; Akk *arnabum*, *annabum*; Arab ³*rnb*; Heb ³*arnēbēt*; Meh *harnáyb*; Gafat: Wolane *arbāñño* (with metathesis); Syr ³*arnbā*; Ug *anhb* (UT 361)¹¹²
- +**qatlād*; *³*aqrab*; “scorpion”; Akk *aqrabum*; Arab ³*aqrab*; Heb ³*aqrāb*; Tigre ³*ärqāb*
- +**qatlād*; *³*θa³lab*; “fox”; Akk *šēlebum*; Arab ³*θa³lab*, ³*θu³al*, ³*θu³āl*; Heb ³*šu³āl*; Meh *yətāyl*, Jibbāli *if³él*; Syr *ta³lā*

¹⁰⁷ See also *³*ar/sa³r* “hair” (p. 26).

¹⁰⁸ The form with *o* is likely a borrowing from Hebrew.

¹⁰⁹ ³*Éšet* is adopted for the absolute state as well in a few cases. ³*Éšet* may be from *³*is-t* (i.e., the feminine of *³*iš*), with shortening of the vowel in a closed syllable (Huehnergard 1995: 11).

¹¹⁰ Not isolated if related to the roots of Akkadian *lemēnum* “be bad, poor,” Amharic *lāmmānā* “beg” (although the latter is probably denominal; J. Huehnergard, personal communication, Spring 1996).

¹¹¹ The languages, in analyzing this word, extract the trilateral root *³*rb³*.

¹¹² The consonants are not proper cognates, however. WUS (27), interprets this as “perfume,” or an animal which produces a perfume, cognate to Gǎʿaz *nəhb* “bee.”

- +*qatlād; *taw²am; “twin”; Akk *tū²amum*; Arab *taw²am*; Gǝ² əz *māntā*; Heb abs. pl. *l’omim*, const. pl. *l’ome, tā²me*; Syr *tā⁽⁴⁾mā*
- +*qatlād; *tawla²; “worm”; Akk *tūltum*; Amharic *təl*; Heb *tolā², tolē²ā*; Soq *ta²áleh*; Syr *tawf²ā, tawla²tā*
- *qatlād; *akbar; “mouse”; Akk *akbarum, akkabarū, agbaru*; Arab (Yemenite) *akbār*, pl. *akābur*; Heb *akbār*; Syr *uqbrā*
- *qatlād/qutlud; *p/bar²yaθ/s; “flea”; Akk *pers/sa²um, per²āšum, parša/u²u, puršū²u*; Arab *bur²yāθ*; Heb *par²oš*; Syr *purtā²nā*
- *qatalid/qutl; *yarapil²urp (The roots *√*yp(l)* and *√*rb* may have exerted analogical influence on each other.); “cloud”; Akk *urpum, urpatu, erpetum*; Heb pl. with suffix *“a²ripé<y>há, “a²rāpel*, pl. *“a²rābot*; Syr *arpellā*; Ug *yrpl² rpt*
- +*qatāliy; *θamāniy; “eight”; Akk absolute state *samāne*; Arab *θamānī*; Gǝ² əz *samāni*; Heb *šmonē*; Meh *təmōni*; Sab *θmny, θmn*; Syr *tmānyā*; Ug *θmn*
- +*qalqal; *kabkab; “star”; Akk *kakkabum*; Arab *kawkab*; Gǝ² əz *kokab*; Heb *koḳāb*; Meh *kəbkēb*; Sab *kwkb*; Syr *kawkbā*; Ug *kkkb*, pl. *kkbm*
- °*qalqal-at/qatl; *laylay-at/layl; “night”; Akk *liliātum*; Arab *layl*; Gǝ² əz *lelit*; Heb *lāylā, lāyil, lel*; Meh *lāylət, līlət*; Sab *lly*; Syr *leyā*; Ug *ll*
- °*qalqal/qulqul; *qadqad/qudqud; “head, pate”; Akk *qaqqadum*; Heb *qādqod*; Ug *qdqd*
- *qalqal/qitāl/qatāl; *gargar/girān/garān; “neck, throat”; Arab *gīrān*; Gǝ² əz *g²ər²e*; Heb *gāron, gargérēt*; Syr *gargartā, gaggartā*
- *qitlīd; *hinzīr; “pig”; Akk *huzīrum*; Arab *hinzīr*; Heb *h²zir*; Meh *xənzīr*; Syr *hzirā*; Ug *hnr, huzīru*
- +*qitlād; *šim²al; “left”; Akk *šumēlum*; Arab *šimāl, šim²al, ša²m*; Heb *šmo²l*; Sab *s²m*; Syr *semmālā*; Ug *šmal*
- +*qutlud; *qunpuḍ; “hedgehog”; Arab *qunfuḍ, qunfaḍ*; Gǝ² əz *q²ənfəz*; Hebrew *qippod* (irregular consonant correspondence);¹¹³ Syr *quppdā*
- *qulqul; *gull/mgull/m-t; “skull”; Arab *gumgumat*; Heb *gulgólēt*; Meh *gəmgəmot*; Syr *gulgultā*
- *qitlād/qūt; *isbā²/sib²; “finger”; Arab *isbā²* (most common, also *usbu², ašbal/ilū²*); Gǝ² əz *ašbā²t*; Heb *esbā²*; Meh *šəbā²*, Jibbali *ašbā²*; Sab *šb²*; Syr *seb²tā/seb²ā*; Ug pl. *usb²t*
- *qatla C₂θC₃; *ankabūθ²ankabīθ; “spider”; Arab *ankabūt* (perhaps Aram loanword, because of the *t*, where **θ would be expected for PS *θ); Heb *akkābiš² akšub*; Meh *ānšēt*; Targ Aram *akkulābītā*

Bibliography

- Aronoff, M., *Word Formation in Generative Grammar*, Linguistic Inquiry monograph 1, 1976.
 Barth, J., *Die Nominalbildung in den semitischen Sprachen*, 1894.

¹¹³ This may be an Akkadian **qutayl* diminutive formation, borrowed directly or indirectly by other languages, including Hebrew and dialects of Aramaic (Von Soden 1991: 1488).

¹¹⁴ There is also *qippoz*, with the expected correspondences, glossed “arrow-snake” (BDB: 891), but this seems to be related to Arabic *qiffāzat*, PS **qippāz(-at)*.

- Bergsträsser, G., *Introduction to the Semitic Languages: Text Specimens and Grammatical Sketches*, trans. P. T. Daniels, 1982.
- Blake, F.R., *Studies in Semitic Grammar V*, JAOS 73, 1953, 7-16.
- Blau, J., *Marginalia Semitica*, Israel Oriental Studies 2, 1972, 57-82.
- Buccellati, G., *A Structural Grammar of Babylonian*, 1996.
- Diakonoff, I.M., *Problems of Root Structure in Proto-Semitic*, ArOr 38, 1970, 453-80.
- , *On Root Structure in Proto-Semitic*, in: [eds.] J. and Th. Bynon, *Hamito-Semitic, Janua Linguarum, series practica 200*, 1975, 133-51.
- , *Afrasian Languages*, 1988.
- Dietrich, M. and O. Loretz, *Zur ugaritischen Lexikographie*, BiOr 23, 1966, 127-33.
- Dillmann, A., *Lexicon Linguae Aethiopicae*, 1865.
- Faber, A., *Semitic Sibilants in an Afro-Asiatic Context*, JSS Suppl. 29, 1984, 189-224.
- , *Akkadian Evidence for Proto-Semitic Affricates*, JCS 37, 1985, 101-107.
- , *The Diachronic Relationship Between Negative and Interrogative Markers in Semitic*, in [ed.] A.S. Kaye, FS W. Leslau, 1991, 411-429.
- Fronzaroli, P., *Sull'elemento vocalico del lessema in semitico*. RSO 38, 1963, 119-29.
- Gelb, I.J., *Notes on von Soden's Grammar of Akkadian*, BiOr 12, 1955, 93-111.
- Ginsberg, H.L., *The Northwest Semitic Languages*, in: [ed.] B. Mazar, *The World History of the Jewish People*, vol. 2, 1970, 102-124.
- Goetze, A., *Short or Long a? (Notes on Some Akkadian Words)*, Or(NS) 16, 1947, 239-250.
- Greenberg, J.H., *The Patterning of Root Morphemes in Semitic*. Word 6, 1950, 162-181.
- Hetzron, R., *La division des langues sémitiques*, in: [ed.] A. Caquot and D. Cohen, *Actes du Premier Congrès International de Linguistique Sémitique et Chamito-Sémitique*, 1974, 181-94.
- , *Two principles of genetic reconstruction*, Lingua 38, 1976, 89-108.
- Hoberman, R.D., *Initial Consonant Clusters in Hebrew and Aramaic*, JNES 48, 1989, 25-29.
- Huehnergard, J., *Three Notes on Akkadian Morphology*, in: [eds.] D. M. Golomb and S. T. Hollis, FS T. O. Lambdin, 1987a, 181-93.
- , *"Stative," Predicative Form, Pseudo-Verb*, JNES 46, 1987b, 215-32.
- , *Ugaritic Vocabulary in Syllabic Transcription*, Harvard Semitic Studies 32, 1987c.
- , *Remarks on the Classification of the Northwest Semitic Languages*, in: [eds.] J. Hoftijzer and C. Van der Kooij, *The Balaam Text from Deir 'Alla Re-evaluated*, 1991, 282-93.
- , *Languages of the Ancient Near East*, in: [ed.] David Noel Freedman, *The Anchor Bible Dictionary*, vol. 4, 1992, 155-69.
- , *Nominal Patterns*, in: T.O. Lambdin and J. Huehnergard, *Historical Hebrew Grammar: Outline*, Unpublished MS, 1995.
- Jenni, E., *Das Wort 'olam im Alten Testament*, ZAW 64, 1952, 197-248; 65, 1953, 1-35.
- Johnstone, T.M., *Jibbali Lexicon*, 1981.
- , *Mehri Lexicon and English-Mehri Word-List*, 1987.
- Kienast, B., *Zur Nominalbildung im Semitischen*, in: [eds.] H. Behrens et al., FS Åke W. Sjöberg, 1989, 277-87.
- Kautzsch, E. [ed.], *Gesenius' Hebrew Grammar*, trans. A.E. Cowley, 1910.
- Kaye, A.S., *Etymology, Etymological Method, Phonological Evolution, and Comparative Semitics: Ge'ez (Classical Ethiopic) ḥgr and Colloquial Syro-Palestinian Arabic ḥzr "Foot" One Last Time*, in: [ed.] A. S. Kaye, FS W. Leslau, 1991, 827-49.
- Kogut, S., *קטל - קטל - קטל - קטל - קטל*, Leshonenu 34, 1969-70, 20-24.
- LaSor, W.S., *Proto-Semitic: Is the Concept No Longer Valid?*, in: [ed.] E.M. Cook, FS S. Segert, Maarav 5-6, 1990, 189-205.
- Leslau, W., *Lexique Soqori (Sudarabique moderne) avec comparaisons et explications étymologiques*, Collection Linguistique Publiée par la Société de Linguistique de Paris 51, 1938.
- , *Étude decriptive et comparative du Gafat (Éthiopien méridional)*, Collection Linguistique Publiée par la Société de Linguistique de Paris 57, 1956.

- , Ethiopic and South Arabic Contributions to the Hebrew Lexicon, U. of California Publications in Semitic Philology 20, 1958.
- , Etymological Dictionary of Gurage (Ethiopic), 1979.
- , Concise Dictionary of Ge'ez (Classical Ethiopic), 1989.
- Littmann, E. and M. Höfner, Wörterbuch der Tigre-Sprache: Tigre-Deutsch-Englisch, Wissenschaften und der Literatur, Veröffentlichungen der Orientalischen Kommission 11, 1956-62.
- Muraoka, T., Segolate Nouns in Biblical and Other Aramaic Dialects, JAOS 96, 1976, 226-35.
- Nebes, N., Zur Form der Imperfektbasis des unvermehrten Grundstammes im Altsüdarabischen, in: [eds.] W. Heinrichs and G. Schoeller, FS E. Wagner, 1994, 59-81.
- Nöldeke, Th., Compendious Syriac Grammar, trans. J. A. Crichton, 1904a.
- , Ausgleichung in den semitischen Wörtern für "Vater" und "Mutter," in: Beiträge zur semitischen Sprachwissenschaft, 1904b, 69-72.
- , Zweiradikalige Substantive, in: Neue Beiträge zur semitischen Sprachwissenschaft, 1910, 109-78.
- Rabin, Ch., Lexicostatistics and the Internal Divisions of Semitic, in: [eds.] J. and Th. Bynon, Hamito-Semitic, Janua Linguarum, series practica 200, 1975, 85-102.
- Sivan, D., Ugaritic Grammar [Hebrew], Biblical Encyclopaedia Library 9, 1993.
- Skinner, N., 'Fly' (Noun) and 'Mouth' in Afroasiatic, Afroasiatic Linguistics 4/1, 1977, 51-62.
- , 'Eye' and 'Tongue' in Afroasiatic, in: [eds.] H. Jungraithmayr and W.M. Müller, Proceedings of the Fourth International Hamito-Semitic Congress, Current Issues in Linguistic Theory 44, 1987, 74-83.
- von Soden, W., Deminutiva nach der form *qutail* > *qutīl* und vergleichbare vierkonsonantige Bildungen im Akkadischen, in: [ed.] Alan S. Kaye, FS W. Leslau, 1991, 1488-92.
- van Soldt, W. H., Review of Huehnergard 1987c, BiOr 47, 1990, 728-35.
- Spitaler, A., Zum Problem der Segolisierung im Aramäischen, FS C. Brockelmann, WZ Martin-Luther-Universität Halle-Wittenberg, Gesellschafts- und Sprachwissenschaftliche Reihe 17, Heft 2/3, 1968, 193-99.
- Steiner, R. C., The Case for Fricative-Laterals in Proto-Semitic, AOS 59, 1977.
- , *Lulav* versus **lulaw*: A note on the conditioning of **aw* > *ū* in Hebrew and Aramaic, JAOS 107, 121-22, 1987.
- Swadesh, M., Lexicostatistical Dating of Prehistoric Ethnic Contacts, With Special Reference to North American Indians and Eskimos, PAPS 96, 1952, 452-63.
- Testen, D., The Significance of Aramaic *r* < **n*, JNES 44, 1985, 143-46.
- Tropper, J., Akkadisch *nūhūtu* und die Representation des Phonems /h/ im Akkadischen, ZA 85, 1985, 58-65.
- Voigt, R. M., The Classification of Central Semitic, JSS 32, 1987, 1-27.
- , Die infirmen Verbaltypen des Arabischen und das Biradikalismus-Problem, Akademie der Wissenschaften und der Literatur – Mainz, Veröffentlichungen der orientalischen Kommission 39, 1988.
- , Die Entsprechung der ursemitischen Interdentale im Altäthiopischen, in: [eds.] W. Heinrichs and G. Schoeller, FS E. Wagner, vol. 1, 1994, 102-17.

Abstract:

Among the Semitic nouns, the isolated nouns are distinct in that they are not built on the usual root-and-pattern structure. This article reviews the definition of the isolated nouns and related categories, and examines the distribution of (phonological) patterns among such nouns. **Qvtl* nouns predominate, while **qatvl* and **qṽl* nouns also occur in significant numbers. In each of these categories, the vowels occur in the order of frequency **a*, **i*, **u*. Few isolated nouns have other patterns; nonetheless, some have patterns, such as **qital*, which are rare in Semitic derived nouns.

Palmyrene Aramaic Inscriptions and the Bible

Delbert R. Hillers (Baltimore, Maryland)

The Aramaic inscriptions from Palmyra are a sizable corpus of ancient texts which have at times been employed with profit for the interpretation and illumination of biblical texts, and which continue to constitute a valuable resource. A more detailed exposition of this assertion is given in this author's "Palmyrene Aramaic Inscriptions and the Bible, especially Amos 2:8,"¹ which may be consulted as the introduction to this article, a series of three notes continuing this general topic, touching: (A) the name *yhwh ʾlhym* in Genesis 2-3; (B) Abraham's purchase of tomb property, and (C) the biblical Hebrew terms for 'goddess.'²

A. Genesis 2-3 "The god Yahweh and the Naked Couple"

Since its beginning Pentateuchal criticism, with its abandonment of the idea of authorship by Moses in favor of a discrimination of various sources (of later date), has depended heavily on the pattern of the names for the deity in the first five books of the canon. A small, but troublesome anomaly in the more or less clear pattern of divine names that can be observed is the combination of two names usually kept apart, *yhwh* and *ʾlhym*. This dual title *yhwh ʾlhym* is prominent in the first narrative portion, the creation and paradise story of Genesis 2 and 3. There is good reason to think that this is a passage that comes from the "Yahwist," one of the principal sources distinguished by critics. So scholars have had to seek some kind of explanation for the unusual combination, since elsewhere the "Yahwist" uses just the so-called Tetragrammaton.

The problem remains unresolved in the sense that after more than a century of Pentateuchal source-criticism, there is no agreed-on explanation. For a delineation of

¹ ZAH8 (1995) 55-62.

² Abbreviations used: BS III = C. Dunant, *Le sanctuaire de Baalshamin à Palmyre: Vol. III Les inscriptions*, Bibliotheca Helvetica Romana (Rome: Institut Suisse de Rome, 1971); CIS always refers to one part of *Corpus inscriptionum semiticarum: Pars secunda, Tomus III: Inscriptiones palmyrenae*; Inv = *Inventaire des inscriptions de Palmyre*. (Fascicles 1-12, various editors and publishers, since 1930); NRSV = *New Revised Standard Version*; NJV = *New Jewish Version*, i.e. *Tanakh - The Holy Scriptures: The New JPS Translation According to the Traditional Hebrew Text* (Philadelphia: Jewish Publication Society, 1988); PAT = D. Hillers and E. Cussini, *Palmyrene Aramaic Texts* (Baltimore: Johns Hopkins, 1966); RSP = M. Gawlikowski, *Recueil d'inscriptions palmyréniennes provenant de fouilles syriennes et polonaises récentes à Palmyre* (Paris: Imprimerie nationale and C. Klincksieck, 1974); RTP = H. Ingholt, H. Seyrig, and J. Starcky, *Recueil des tessères de Palmyre*, Institut Français d'Archéologie de Beyrouth. Bibliothèque archéologique et historique (Paris: Geuthner, 1955).

This article is derived principally from lectures and seminars held in 1995 at the Università degli Studi di Firenze; I wish to express my thanks to Prof. P. Fronzaroli, and Dr. F. Lelli, and especially to Prof. Ida Zatelli, for cordial hospitality and stimulating discussion.